Risk of Fall in Patients during the Early Months after Total Knee Arthroplasty
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Abstract

Objective: Falls are among the most common causes of injury in elderly people, whereas little is known about the risk of falls during the early months after hospital discharge in people undergoing Total Knee Arthroplasty (TKA). Therefore, this study aimed to assess risk of falling in patients during the early months after TKA.

Methods: Seventy patients undergoing primary TKA for knee osteoarthritis participated in this study. The risk of falling as assessed using knee Range Of Motion (ROM), the knee extension strength, 10-m walking test, Timed Up & Go (TUG) test, and Sit-to-Stand test findings and falls efficacy using the modified Falls Efficacy Scale (mFES) were evaluated 1 month before surgery, on the day of hospital discharge (5 days after surgery), and 1, 2, and 3 months after surgery.

Results: Of the 70 patients, 3 (4.3\%) reported falls in the first 3 months after surgery. Patients who underwent TKA experienced an expected worsening of knee ROM, knee extension strength, and performance on the 10-m walking, TUG, and Sit-to-Stand tests on the day of discharge (p<0.001). All measures of physical function were comparable to the preoperative levels 3 months after surgery. The mFES scores displayed no significant differences among the assessments performed before surgery, on the day of discharge, and 1, 2, and 3 months after surgery.

Conclusion: Despite worsening of physical function after TKA, patients displayed similar falls efficacy to that observed preoperatively. These results indicated that patients undergoing TKA might underestimate their risk of falls during the early months after hospital discharge despite the likelihood of an increased risk of falls because of declines in physical function including strength, gait ability, and mobility. The clinical implication of this finding is that falls prevention interventions are needed prior to hospital discharge.
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Introduction

Total Knee Arthroplasty (TKA) is the most common surgical intervention for end-stage knee Osteoarthritis (OA), and it offers patients pain relief, functional recovery, and improved quality of life \cite{1,2}. An estimated 70,000 TKA procedures are performed yearly in Japan, and the number increases annually. Early initiation of rehabilitation therapy after TKA, such as interventions to increase joint Range Of Motion (ROM) and quadriceps strength, is becoming popular, and it is considered important for obtaining the maximum benefit from knee arthroplasty \cite{3-5}. Moreover, the early initiation of rehabilitation is beneficial for early hospital discharge \cite{3-5}. Early hospital discharge is expected to lower the risk of hospital-acquired infection and allow patients to quickly return to their daily life activities after surgery \cite{6}.

Falls are among the most common causes of injury in elderly people, and knee OA is an important risk factor for falls, with more than 50\% of people with knee OA reporting falls in the past year \cite{7-11}. Despite the high prevalence of falls in people with knee OA, little is known about the risk of falls in people following TKA. Levinger et al. assessed the risk of falls in people with knee OA before surgery and at 4 months following surgery and concluded that people undergoing TKA were at increased risk of falls both prior to and 4 months following surgery \cite{12}. Moreover, Matsumoto et al. found that people undergoing TKA were more likely to fall than healthy elderly people 1 year after surgery \cite{13}. However, the risk of falls in people who underwent TKA during the early months after hospital discharge is unclear. Recently, there has been a trend toward early hospital discharge; therefore, it is important to assess the risk of falls during the early months after hospital discharge. Thus, the purpose of this study was to assess the risk of falls in patients with knee OA before and at 1, 2, and 3 months after TKA.

Methods

The target population consisted of patients undergoing primary TKA for knee OA (Table 1); in total, 70 patients (21 men and 49 women) who underwent primary TKA for knee OA were recruited and tested prior to the surgery, on the day of discharge, and at 1, 2, and 3 months following surgery. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (i) completion of primary unilateral TKA for knee OA staged as grade 3 or 4 based on the Kellgren and Lawrence radiographic grading system (radiographic evaluation was performed by an independent experienced assessor) \cite{14}; (ii) no demonstrable symptoms in the hip, ankle, and contralateral knee while walking; (iii) ability to walk independently; and (iv) provision of informed consent to participate.
The number of falls experienced by the participants in the 3 months prior to and after surgery was assessed. A fall was defined as an unexpected event where a person falls to the ground from an upper level or the same level [16]. Falls resulting from extraordinary environmental factors (e.g., traffic accidents or falls while riding a bicycle) were excluded.

Knee extension strength, the 10-m walking test, the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test, and the Sit-to-Stand test were used to assess the risk of falls [17-19]. To ensure the reliability of outcomes and prevent measurement error, all assessments were performed by a single physical therapist.

Knee extension strength was measured as the peak isometric knee extension torque (Nm/kg) using a hand-held dynamometer (μTas F1; ANIMA, Chofu, Japan). Participants were seated, with their hands on their laps, on a chair designed to stabilize the body and minimize synkinetic movements. The knee joint was held at an angle of 75°C, and a belt was used to restrain the dynamometer to enhance the reliability of isometric leg muscle strength measurements [20]. Participants were asked to extend their knees (by using the command "kick as hard as possible") for 3 s [21]. During each test, consistent verbal encouragement was provided to the subjects. The peak torque was estimated as the product of force and the distance between the attachment of the dynamometer (at the lateral malleolus) and the center of rotation of the knee joint. Two attempts of maximal contraction were performed, and the greater of the 2 measurements was recorded and normalized to body weight. The quadriceps strength of the surgical limb was measured. The intraclass correlation coefficient for intratester measurement reliability was 0.97.

For the 10-m walking test, participants were instructed to walk at a self-selected, comfortable pace along a 16-m long, 2-m wide hallway [17]. Gait time was measured to one-hundredth of a second using a stopwatch as the participant walked in the central 10-m portion of the walkway, which was identified for the examiner using tape markings. The time was recorded for 2 trials and averaged as the 10-m walking time. The validity and reliability of the 10-m walking test have been reported [22]. For the TUG test, participants were instructed to stand up from a chair with no seat arms and a seat height of 40 cm, walk a distance of 3 m at a normal pace, turn, walk back to the chair, and return to a seated position [18]. The time was recorded to one-hundredth of a second using a stopwatch, and time to complete the TUG test of 2 trials were averaged to obtain the TUG score. This test is reliable and valid for assessing group changes among inpatients undergoing orthopedic rehabilitation [23]. For the Sit-to-Stand test, participants began by sitting with their back against a chair with no seat arms and a seat height of 40 cm. They were asked to stand up from the chair once. If successful, they were instructed to stand up and sit down 5 times as quickly as possible. Timing began when the examiner said, "Go" and stopped when the participant's buttocks touched the seat on the fifth repetition [19]. They were instructed not to touch the seat during each repetition. The Sit-to-Stand Test was timed in seconds only once for fear of pain.

Assessment of ROM followed procedural guidelines recommended by MacDougall et al. for the determination of start and end positions [24]. Passive knee flexion and extension ROM were evaluated in the supine position and knee extension ROM was assessed with the patient's heel propped off the treatment table on a block. The end of passive ROM was determined once the tester felt resistance or the patient vocalized discomfort. Angular displacement was measured using a standard long-arm goniometer. The lateral epicondyle and the greater trochanter of the femur, and the lateral malleolus of the ankle were identified and the goniometer then positioned. The passive ROM was determined once the tester felt resistance or the patient vocalized discomfort. Angular displacement was measured using a standard long-arm goniometer. The lateral epicondyle and the greater trochanter of the femur, and the lateral malleolus of the ankle were identified and the goniometer then positioned. The passive movement was performed and the goniometer was then replaced in the same position, and the measurement was recorded. The same tester performed all measurements. The intraclass correlation coefficients for intratester measurement reliability were 0.96 and 0.94 for flexion and extension, respectively. These results agreed with those of previous studies [25].

Falls efficacy

The modified Falls Efficacy Scale (mFES) is a self-reported measure of an individual's self-perceived ability to complete a task without falling [26]. The mFES consists of 14 items aimed at assessing falls efficacy during both indoor and outdoor activities. Examples of the 10 items assessing indoor activities are getting dressed and bathing; conversely, crossing roads and using public transport are examples of the 4 outdoor activities assessed. The total score on the mFES can range from 10 to 40, with low scores indicating greater confidence. The
mFES has been used in empirical research investigating falls within a community-dwelling elderly population [27].

Statistical analysis

Pre-protocol analysis was conducted after excluding patients who withdrew from the study. Prior to data analysis, all variables were assessed for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. All variables followed the normal distribution; therefore, analysis of variance with the Tukey-Kramer post hoc test was used to assess the differences in mFES, knee ROM, knee extension strength, and 10-m walking test, TUG test, and Sit-to-Stand test findings between before and 1, 2 and 3 months after surgery.

Subsequently, we calculated the percent change in mFES, knee ROM, knee extension strength, and 10-m walking test, TUG test, and Sit-to-Stand test findings. Percent change was calculated by subtracting the preoperative value from the postoperative value at each testing time and dividing that difference by the preoperative value [21]. As faster times on the 10-m walking test, TUG test, and Sit-to-Stand test represent better performance, we altered the determination of percent change for the test so that a positive value for percent change represented improvement for all measures [21]. Therefore, the percent changes in the 10-m walking, TUG, and Sit-to-Stand test times were calculated by subtracting the postoperative value at each testing time from the preoperative value and dividing that difference by the preoperative value. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Version 22.0 (IBM, Tokyo, Japan), and a P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

All 70 patients completed the assessment preoperatively and at 1, 2, and 3 months after surgery. Of these patients, no patients reported falls in the 3 months prior to surgery, whereas 3 patients (4.3%) reported falls in the 3 months after surgery.

Knee ROM (flexion: F=294.08, df=4, 345, p<0.001; extension: F=33.68, df=4, 345, p<0.001), knee extension strength (F=88.33, df=4, 345, p<0.001), and the 10-m walking test (F=32.57, df=4, 345, p<0.001), TUG test (F=84.67, df=4, 345, p<0.001), and Sit-to-Stand test times (F=47.26, df=4, 345, p<0.001) demonstrated a main effect for change over time (Table 2).

Compared to the preoperative value, knee flexion ROM was 28% lower in the participants on the day of discharge. Improvements in knee flexion ROM were observed at each subsequent assessment compared with the findings on the day of discharge (p<0.001). Knee flexion ROM was decreased by 5.6% from the preoperative value at the final test; however, there was no significant difference between the preoperative and 3-month assessment values (p=0.95). Knee extension ROM in the assessment on the day of discharge was 6 degrees better than that before surgery (p<0.001). There were no significant differences observed at each subsequent assessment.

Knee extension strength was 58% lower than the preoperative value in the test performed on the day of discharge (p<0.001) (Figure 1). Improvements in knee extension strength were noted in each subsequent assessment, and there was no significant difference in knee extension strength between the preoperative and 3-month postoperative assessments (p=0.92).

The 10-m walking, TUG, and Sit-to-Stand test times were significantly longer in the assessment performed on the day of discharge than in the preoperative tests (p<0.001) (Figure 1). Performance on these tests improved significantly with each subsequent testing period up to the 3-month test (p<0.001). Preoperative testing times did not differ significantly from the times of the last testing session for the 10-m walking (p=0.97), TUG (p=0.09), and Sit-to-Stand tests (p=0.11).

No significant differences in mFES scores were observed among the before surgery, day of discharge, and 1-, 2-, and 3-month assessments (F=2.07, df=4, 345, p=0.08) (Figure 1).

Discussion

As there is a trend toward early hospital discharge, previous studies investigated the risk of falling at 4 months or 1 year after TKA, but the risk of falling during the early months after hospital discharge remains unclear [12,13]. We therefore assessed the risk of falling during the early months after hospital discharge in patients who underwent TKA.

The results of this study demonstrated patients who underwent TKA experienced a worsening of knee flexion ROM, knee extension strength, and performance on the 10-m walking, TUG, and Sit-to-Stand tests after surgery, which is consistent with the results of a previous study illustrating that patients who undergo TKA experience a decline in physical function [21]. Although knee extension ROM on the day of discharge improved better than that before surgery, change of ROM was only 6 degrees and had no clinical effect. Previous studies reported that knee extension strength, difficulty rising from a chair, reduced walking speed, and decreased mobility, are strongly associated with the risk of falls [28-32]. Therefore, the results of this study indicated that patients undergoing TKA were at greater risk of falls during the early months after hospital discharge than before surgery.

On the contrary, mFES scores were not significantly different between before and after surgery. The mFES represents an individual’s self-perceived ability to complete a task without falling [26], and patients undergoing TKA appear to maintain their falls efficacy at a level comparable to that before surgery.

### Table 2: Preoperative and postoperative physical function and falls efficacy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical function</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>Postoperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The day of discharge</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of motion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexion (degrees)</td>
<td>127 ± 12</td>
<td>91 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension (degrees)</td>
<td>−8 ± 7</td>
<td>−2 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee extension strength (Nm/kg)</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-m walking test (s)</td>
<td>9.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>14.6 ± 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timed Up and Go Test (s)</td>
<td>13.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>20.0 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Sit-to-Stand test (s)</td>
<td>15.3 ± 3.6</td>
<td>23.5 ± 9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mFES score</td>
<td>18.8 ± 3.5</td>
<td>18.7 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as the mean ± SD. mFES: modified Falls Efficacy Scale
level comparable to that before surgery despite experiencing a decline in physical function. Therefore, patients undergoing TKA may underestimate their risk of falls during the early months after hospital discharge despite an increased risk of falls because of a decline in physical function. Fortinsky et al. found that nearly half of older adults with a history of fall-related incidents have higher balance confidence despite having a high fall risk based on fall history [33]. Moreover, Robinovitch et al. reported that falls in older persons who overestimated their physical ability might partially be because of a lack of awareness about declines of physical ability [34]. In this study, patients after TKA had greater confidence to complete a task without falling despite a high risk of falling because of declines in physical function during the early months after hospital discharge. Therefore, such overconfidence may further increase the risk of falling in patients after TKA during the early months.

This study has several limitations. The first limitation is the lack of a physical assessment of balance. Reduced dynamic balance is strongly associated with the risk for falls, and patients may have a problem of balance ability during the early months after TKA [28,29,32,35]. Second, we did not have longitudinal data to determine whether falls occurred for a long period. Further research is needed to investigate the relationship between falls efficacy and physical function in the long term. The third limitation is the accuracy of assessment of knee extension strength and knee ROM. Although we showed high ICC in the both tests, the tests by using hand-held dynamometer and goniometer may potentially lack the accuracy. Despite these limitations, the finding in the current study indicates that patients undergoing TKA have an increased risk of falls because of overconfidence during the early months after hospital discharge. Physical therapists should call patient’s attention to falls and rise patient’s awareness of the risk of falls compatible with their physical function during early months after discharge.
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