Possibility of TAS-102 as an Early Line Therapy Against Advanced Colorectal Cancer
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Abstract

Introduction: New drugs have improved the outcome of metastatic colorectal cancer treatment. In Japan, more than 10 agents with at least seven different mechanisms such as 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), irinotecan (IRI), oxaliplatin, angiogenesis inhibitors, anti-epidermal growth factor receptor antibodies, regorafenib, and TAS-102, have been approved. With the increase in the number of alternatives, the importance of choosing the agents increases. To suppress the toxicities of agents is also necessary. To increase the quality of life of the patients should be taken into consideration for their longer survival. TAS-102 has proved to be an effective and safe agent for the 3rd or 4th lines of advanced colorectal cancer.

Presentation of case: We report a case of a patient who had suffered severe adverse events from standard first-line chemotherapy with 5-FU derivative and IRI. She did not want to undergo any more intensive chemotherapy. Toxicity and anxiety made her evade the standard combination chemotherapy. For this patient, TAS-102 achieved over 30% tumor shrinkage and more than 1-year progression-free survival with acceptable toxicities.

Conclusion: TAS-102 can result in a better outcome for a responder when given as an earlier line of therapy. In future, predictive biomarkers of TAS-102 should be identified for better use of this agent.
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Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common malignancy in men (746,000 cases, 10.0% of the total) and the second most common in women (614,000 cases, 9.2% of the total) worldwide [1]. The estimated mortality rates in both genders range from 20.3 per 100,000 men and 11.7 per 100,000 women in Central and Eastern Europe to 3.5 and 3.0, respectively, in Western Africa [1]. Almost a quarter of a century ago, metastatic CRC was treated using a single agent, 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), with a median survival time (MST) of approximately 1 year. Thereafter, relevant improvements in not only MST but also progression-free survival (PFS) and response rates have been achieved. The most recently reported MST has exceeded 30 months [2]. Almost a dozen agents, including 5-FU; its derivatives; irinotecan (IRI); oxaliplatin (OX); angiogenesis inhibitors, such as bevacizumab, ramucirumab, and afiblercept; anti-epidermal growth factor receptor antibodies, such as cetuximab and panitumumab; and multiple tyrosine kinase inhibitor regorafenib have contributed to this improvement. TAS-102, a new oral anti-cancer agent composed of a 1:0.5 mixture on a molar ratio of α, α, α-trifluorothymidine (TFTD) and a thymidine phosphorylase inhibitor (TP), tipiracil hydrochloride, has demonstrated improved results in MST and PFS, compared with a placebo, in a global phase 3 trial for metastatic CRC refractory to standard therapies [3]. A much safer profile of TAS-102 has also been confirmed, with grade 3/4 neutropenia in 38% and febrile neutropenia in 4% patients. However, the response rate of TAS-102 was lower at 1.6%. This might be due to its usage late in the line. Recently, we have observed a good response of TAS-102 for metastatic CRC as an early line of therapy.

Case Presentation

A 68-year-old female with rectal cancer underwent abdominoperineal resection at another hospital in January 2014. It was diagnosed as stage 1, according to the TMN classification, and was histopathologically identified as an adenocarcinoma with mutant RAS (the mutation replaces the amino acid glycine with valine at position 12). In June 2014, she visited our department for chemotherapy because of multiple liver metastases detected by computed tomography (CT). Combined chemotherapy with S-1 and IRI (IRIS) was administrated in July 2014. It was interrupted because of severe abdominal pain, nausea, and vomiting on the 2nd day. Her CT examination revealed toxic megacolon, and she was diagnosed with paralytic ileus (Figure 1a-1d). She recovered after 3 weeks of conservative treatment. During this period, we checked the size of liver metastases by CT, which revealed tumor shrinkage (25% reduction from baseline). Although IRI was effective, she was afraid of receiving not only IRIS but also the idea of having chemotherapy itself. We proposed alternative methods of treatment, including surgery or radiofrequency ablation (RFA). She only agreed to receive 5-FU and l-leucovorin intravenous bolus therapy (FL). In September 2014, she was started on treatment with FL, given once a week for 6 consecutive weeks and 2 weeks off as one cycle. After one cycle, almost 2 months, CT examination revealed a reduction of liver metastasis. In June, 2015, after completing 5 cycles of FL, regrowth of her liver metastases was confirmed. We proposed other treatment options, including liver surgery and RFA as well as more chemotherapy. However, she did not want any combination chemotherapies such as FOLFOX, FOLFIRI, angiogenesis inhibitors or regorafenib, because of their toxicities. She agreed with TAS-102 because of its low toxicity profile. She started TAS-102 treatment in June 2015 at a 20% reduced dosage (from 50 mg to 40 mg) twice a day, in consideration of her fear.
Figure 1: Clinical imaging during treatment, a) Toxic megacolon just after IRI administration, b) Liver metastasis before FL treatment, c) Liver metastasis just before TAS-102 treatment, d) Maximum effect of liver metastasis with TAS-102.

of adverse reactions to the agents. Treatment was safely conducted with the occurrence of only grade 3 neutropenia, grade 1 nausea, constipation, and fatigue. Grade 3 neutropenia always delayed the start of a new cycle by 1 week. Three cycles later, CT revealed that the liver metastases had shrunk to 75% of baseline. Six cycles later, CT showed a 63% reduction from baseline (Figure 1d). Ten months from the start of TAS-102 administration, her liver metastases stayed within 67% of baseline, with no new lesions.

Discussion

Preclinical analysis indicates that TAS-102 can suppress the growth of 5-FU-resistant CRC cell line, DLD-1, inoculated in mice at a 73.2% frequency [4]. This data shows that TAS-102 has tumor shrinkage potential. The response rate (1.6%) and PFS (2.1 months) of TAS-102 in the RECURSE trial were lower [3]. Of course, this trial was conducted for heavily refractory cases and data include TAS-102 as late line therapy. Another trial for 5-FU and OX refractory cases as a second line therapy. Another trial for 5-FU-resistant CRC cell line, DLD-1, inoculated in mice at a 73.2% frequency [4]. This data shows that TAS-102 has tumor shrinkage potential.

Conclusion

TAS-102 can be a safe and effective alternative for metastatic CRC as an early line of therapy, if the standard chemotherapy with combination of 5-FU plus OX or 5-FU plus IRI is toxic.
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