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Abstract

Introduction: Migraine is a severe and painful type of headache which can be treated in different ways. Recent studies have demonstrated that neurofeedback is a good option for treatment of migraine headaches.

Method: The main aim of present research was to investigate the effect of neurofeedback on Memory of migraine patients. To do so, a sample of 30 subjects was selected for experimental and control groups by an accessible sampling procedure. Subjects were assessed with the Wechsler Memory Scale in two stages (pretest and posttest). The obtained data were analyzed through covariance analysis method.

Result: After 30 sessions of neurofeedback training, considerable improvement in general memory of the experimental group was observed. Also, the two groups (experimental and placebo) presented significant differences in the memory.

Conclusion: According to the researcher’s view, migraine patients are able to control their brainwaves with participating in neurofeedback training sessions, so their total memory would be increased.
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Introduction

Migraine is a common, disabling and often progressive disorder characterized by increased excitability of the central nervous system [1,2]. It occurs in 18% of women and 6% of men in the US with peak prevalence in individuals between the ages of 25 and 55 [3]. Economic burden of migraine in the US is estimated to be approximately 13 billion annually [4].

Memory is non-separable component on individuals cognitive system [5]. It is a process that knowledge will be coded, reserved, and remembered. In addition, many of important behaviors are learned. Our personal values depend on what we have learned and what we will remember [6]. Memory is able to maintain information within an internal researchable keeping system, as this information is accessible and usable. In the recent decades, understanding natural and disturbed memory has been developed more than other cognitive features [7]. All of our learning, thinking, planning and social behaviors are shaped base on our memory. Human being’s memory is as equal as his senses. Recognition of smells (smell memory), sounds (hearing memory), tastes (tasting memory), colors (observing memory), and roughness and smoothness (touch memory) require their recollection in memory. Human beings cannot make relationship with others, execute their tribal customs, represent their senses, recognize face of their friends and even knows the way to their home.

Memory would be influenced by many factors, including multi-language, intelligence, and mental health [7]. Therefore, methods and techniques which are able to improve memory are very important. Among these, Neurofeedback Training (NFT) is a state of art training based on operant conditioning. It requires an individual to modify the amplitude, frequency or coherence of the electrical activity and learn to influence the electrical activity of their brain. The goal is to train the individual to normalize abnormal EEG frequencies. Decreasing Theta and increasing sensory motor rhythm (SMR) activity (10–13 Hz) lead to improve cognitive performance [8].

Generally, in neurofeedback training sessions, person will be familiar with his/her different situations of brain waves, and he/she can control them. In fact, neurofeedback is based on implicit and non-conscious learning and conditioning [9,10].

Vernonet et al. [11] concluded that neurofeedback has positive effect on some dimensions of cognitive performance, including precision at work memory. In another study, Gholizade [12] evaluated effect of neurofeedback training on observing memory of students. In the study 30 students learned to control their brain waves voluntarily and increased memory performance by it.

Method

This is an experimental study with pre-posttest plan with control group. In this study, Neurofeedback training is considered as independent variable, and memory as dependent variable.

The sample of present research contains 30 migraine patients who selected by accessible sampling. 15 subjects were allocated to neurofeedback (experimental) group and 15 participates were allocated to control group. The range of samples’ age was 22-51 years old with average 34.06 and standard deviation 8.07. The number of male and female participates were 17 and 23, respectively. The obtained data were analyzed through covariance analysis method with spss version 17.

Instruments

Neurofeedback amplifier

Neurofeedback amplifier is a device which analyzes the received raw brain waves through located electrodes on the head to frequencies of different waves. These frequencies are delta, theta, alpha, and beta
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waves. During neurofeedback training electrodes are located on scalp according to 20-10 international system. Patient is requested to seat in front of computer and control the video games which are showing in screen, by concentration on computer screen. The games go on by decreasing inappropriate wave activity and increasing appropriate wave activity. In the beginning, brain waves' changes are temporal but permanent changes will be gradually occurred by repeating sessions [13].

In this study researchers used a neurofeedback device, made by Thought Technology Ltd. that called ProComp2®. The ProComp2® is a 2 channel device that contains a built-in EEG sensor (simply connect an extend cable for EEG monitoring and biofeedback). The ProComp2® system contains all the peripherals to easily connect it to a desktop or laptop IBM-compatible PC.

Wechsler memory scale (WMS-IV) for adult

This scale has been designed for memory assessment as an objective measure and it is based on several years research and survey about functional simple and immediate memory and provide information for separation action disorders of memory. Wechsler memory scale (form A) Contain 7 Subscale including information and orientation mental control, logic memory, Digit Span, Verbal Paired Associates, and Visual Reproduction. Shokri et al. [14] evaluated Cronbach’s alpha coefficient for Wechsler memory subscales. The results showed 0.96, 0.93, 0.69, 0.89, 0.77, 0.83 and 0.81 for information and orientation mental control, logic memory, Digit Span, Verbal Paired Associates, and Visual Reproduction, respectively.

Procedure

For each subject 30 neurofeedback training sessions was held and each session lasted 45 minutes. The experimental group received feedback based on their performance, in contrast control group’s feedback was not based on their performance (in fact their sessions weren’t neurofeedback training and they didn’t know that they conducted placebo training). In the first session, before neurofeedback training, memory test were performed on all subjects, individually. Then neurofeedback training was carried out for experimental group according to this protocol: increasing SMR wave (12-15Hz) and decreasing high beta (19-32Hz) and Theta (4-8Hz) in Cz area.

Date Analyses

Data were analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Packages for the Social Sciences) PC version 17 for Windows. All differences were considered significant if the probability of error was p< 0.05. To compare memory scores between groups multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was used.

Table 1: Mean and standard deviation of memory scores in pre- and post test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orientation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental control</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logic memory</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Span</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal Paired Associates</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>17.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Reproduction</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>75.33</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>72.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>75.33</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>72.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Result

To evaluate mean and standard deviation of subjects’ memory scores in pre and post test descriptive analysis was used. The results have been demonstrated in table 1.

As has been shown in table 1, the memory scores mean of experiment group in pre and post test were 68.30 and 77.30, respectively. In addition, the results showed that the control subjects’ mean of memory scores were 72.8 and 75.33, respectively.

In the present study in order to compare differences between migraine patients and control groups on memory scores, the individual’s scores on Wechsler memory scale and its subscales in post test stage were evaluated as multivariate analysis (MANOVA). The MANOVA’s results have been given in table 2.

As have been shown in table 2, MANOVA revealed significant differences between experimental and control groups on total memory score F (1,28) = 26.88, p<0.000. In addition, the results showed that some subscale scores were significantly different between two groups, such as mental control F (1,28) = 16.66, p<0.001, Digit Span F (1,28) = 20.86, p<0.001, Verbal Paired Associates F (1,28) = 4.53, p<0.05, Visual Reproduction F (1,28) = 33.55, p<0.001. Significant differences between groups reflected that migraine subjects had greater scores on memory scale than control participates after neurofeedback training.

On the other hand, as have been shown in table 2, the findings indicated that the two groups differences on logic memory was found to be non-significant, F(1,28) = 0.49, NS, that reflected neurofeedback training didn’t influenced logic memory of migraine patients.

Discussion

The main aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of neurofeedback training on Memory of migraine patients. The results showed that neurofeedback training improved memory of these subjects. several studies provided research’s result [15-20] In fact, neurofeedback is a mechanism for repair and reconstruction of brain waves. Patients could improve their wave’s pattern by continuous training, feedback and practice. It is a practice for brain and so with this training session the memory will be increased and the changes will become permanent gradually. There are evidences during recent 25 years, which show this learning phenomenon would be influenced by unconscious processes and person can learn without direct awareness [21]. As neurofeedback is based on learning and conditioning process (especially deals wit brain conditioning), its effect occurs after about 30 sessions [22]. The protocol which used in present study was to increasing SMR wave on Cz area (Sensory motor cortex and cingulate cortices). Also, the patient who has difficulty in understanding logical...
continuity of cognitive function can use neurofeedback training on sensory motor cortex in left hemisphere (C3) and training on sensory motor cortex in right hemisphere (C4) could facilitate mixed answer. Cingulated system deals with excitement feeling, attention and working memory. So, increasing sensory-motor rhythm decrease unrelated motives and facilitates integrity of motives related to performance and consequently, effects on conceptual memory directly [24]. In addition, in the study the theta was inhibited on Cz area. Theta wave increase in theta activity [28].

In addition, in the study theta was inhibited on Cz area. Theta wave increase in theta activity [28]. In addition, in the study theta was inhibited on Cz area. Theta wave increase in theta activity [28]. In addition, in the study theta was inhibited on Cz area. Theta wave increase in theta activity [28]. In addition, in the study theta was inhibited on Cz area. Theta wave increase in theta activity [28].

Table 2: TLUSER AVONA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental control</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>0.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logic memory</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Span</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.62</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal Paired</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36.36</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Reproduction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>182.68</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>84.79</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental control</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.66</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logic memory</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Span</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.86</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal Paired</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.530</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Reproduction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.554</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.88</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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