# Assessing factors associated with Catastrophic Healthcare Expenditure in Côte d'Ivoire: Evidence from The Household Living Standard Survey 2015

Akissi Régine Attia-Konan<sup>1</sup>, Agbaya Serge Stéphane Oga<sup>1</sup>, Kouamé Koffi<sup>1</sup>, Jérôme Kouamé<sup>1</sup>, Amadou Touré<sup>2</sup>, Luc Kouadio<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dpartment of Public Health, Hydrology and Toxicology, UFR Pharmaceutical and Biological Sciences, University of Félix Houphouët Boigny, BP V34 Abidjan

<sup>2</sup>National Institute of Côte d'Ivoire

# Corresponding Author\*

Akissi Régine Attia-Konan, PharmD, MPH 25 BP 1798 Abidjan 25 Phone: +225 09886212 Email : yaoregci@yahoo.fr

**Copyright:** © 2020 Attia-Konan, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received 24 Oct 2019; Accepted 22 Jun 2020; Published 07 Jul 2020

#### Abstract

Catastrophic healthcare expenditure (CHE) occurs in all countries and is responsible for inequalities in access to health care, particularly in lowand middle-income countries. The objective of this work is to analyse the determinants of CHEs in households living in Côte d'Ivoire. The data for the study are from the national household living standards survey conducted from January 23 to March 25, 2015 by the National Statistics Institute of Côte d'Ivoire (Institut National de la Statistique de Côte d'Ivoire). A one-way analysis and logistic regression were conducted to measure the association between CHEs and the socio-demographic, economic and health characteristics of households. The sample consisted of 12,899 households. Nearly 4% of households had experienced CHEs after completing OOPs. CHEs were more frequent in households including people over 65 years of age (OR: 4.75; 95% CI: 1.66-13.58), with chronic disease (OR: 2.10; 95% CI: 1.43-3.08), with more comfortable living conditions. Households without health insurance experienced fewer CHEs (OR: 0.29; 95% CI: 0.09-0.85) with large households including people over 65 years of age (OR: 0.60 95% CI: 0.40-0.91). This work highlighted socio-demographic and health determinants of CHEs. The reduction of CHEs involves considering social and individual factors.

**Keywords:** Out of pocket • Direct payments • Healthcare expenditure • Catastrophic health expenditure • Côte d'Ivoire

## Introduction

Households may experience financial hardship after using healthcare services called catastrophic healthcare expenditures (CHE) that may lead to impoverishment [1-4]. Health expenditure is described as catastrophic when direct payments (OOP) exceed a threshold where individuals must give up the consumption of other essential goods and services [3], [5], [6]. These CHE occur in all countries and regardless of the socio-economic status of individuals, and are increasing. The global incidence of CHE at the 10% threshold of household consumption increased from 9.7% in 2000 to 11.4% in 2005, and 11.7% in 2010. By 2017, nearly 808 million people had experimented CHE after using health services [7]. Africa has the highest population growth rate exposed to this type of expenditure, with an average growth rate of more than 5.9% a year. This breakdown of CHE is explained by the fact that households are the main source of health funding in these countries and 00Ps represent the majority share [3].

These OOPs represent a financial barrier to accessibility to health care [8],[9]. As a result, these financial barriers often lead to the most disadvantaged populations giving up care or postponing the use of healthcare services [10], [11]. Households experimenting CHEs sometimes become poorer and find themselves below a poverty line estimated at

less than US\$2 a day per person according to the World Bank or a relative poverty line according to the WHO [3].

In Côte d'Ivoire, the use of healthcare services is low, with less than one contact per inhabitant each year [12]. Households are the main source of funding for care. In 2013, OOPs accounted for 51% of total healthcare expenditure [13]. In 2015, the share of healthcare expenditure represented only 5.86% of the GDP [13], below the global average (11.5%) and the Abuja target set in 2001 (15%). However, the higher the amount of OOPs, the greater the risk of experimenting with CHE [14]. In general, countries with a prepayment or social protection system offer better access to care and are less burdened by CHE [5]. However, these protection mechanisms are largely lacking in Côte d'Ivoire. In fact, less than 10% of the population has private insurance. The law on universal health coverage was passed in 2013 but is still not fully implemented. There is an exemption from fees for children aged 0 to 5 years and for the care of pregnancy and childbirth since 2012. However, there are shortcomings in the implementation of this policy, particularly in the availability of medicines [15], as has been observed in most countries where these exemption policies have been put in place [16]. Inequalities in access to health care persist, especially for the most disadvantaged. Protection against financial risk is a fundamental objective of Universal Health Coverage (UHC), a global challenge to achieving sustainable development goals [3]. The reduction of CHE is a key indicator for measuring the achievement of this goal [3].

The post-use financial risk assessment of health facilities in Côte d'Ivoire has not been sufficiently documented after the implementation of these exemption policies. No published studies have analyzed the frequency and distribution of CHEs in households in Côte d'Ivoire. However, the factors associated with CHEs are diverse and multidimensional, as several studies on the determinants of CHEs have highlighted other non-financial parameters such as rural residence, the existence of chronic diseases and elderly people in the household or the absence of health insurance [4], [17], [18]. The study of CHE determinants could provide relevant information for the implementation of policies to address inequalities of access to healthcare. The objective of this study was to identify the factors associated with CHE in Côte d'Ivoire.

## Methods

## Study setting

Côte d'Ivoire is a West Africa country located in the Gulf of Guinea at latitude 7.54 and longitude -5.5471 with a tropical climate. It was ranked in 2016 according to the World Bank ranking in the lower-middle-income countries with GDP of 86.83 USD per inhabitant. It has an estimated population in 2014 of 22,671,331 of which 19.4% residing in the economic capital Abidjan in the south of the country, 30.9% residing in the rest of the urban area and 49.7% in rural area (RGPH, 2014). The proportion of people living below the poverty line (476,12USD per year) was estimated at 46.3% in 2015; 56.8% in rural areas and 35.9% in urban areas. The Gini index was estimated at 0.405 in 2015 (ENV, 2015). The health system is organized in two-sided pyramidal form, one administrative and the other service provider. This service provider is divided into three levels in the public sector: 1,910 first contact health centers and 68 district reference hospitals at the first level, 17 regional hospitals and 2 specialized hospital centers at the second level and 4 university teaching hospitals and 5 national specialized institutes at the third level . The private sector had 2,036 establishments in 2011. The practice and organization of traditional medicine and pharmacopoeia are governed by Law No. 2015-536 of 20 July 2015 and Decree No. 2016-24 of 27 January 2016 on Code of Ethics of Traditional Medicine and Pharmacopoeia Practitioners. Traditional medicine has more than 8,500 practitioners. The total health expenditure in 2015 representing 5.86% of GDP, and households contributed 32.55% [13]. The proportion of the population subscribing to health insurance policy was below 10% in 2014.

#### **Data sources**

This analysis is based on the 2015 National survey on standard of living of households ENV 2015 (ENV 2015) conducted from 23 January to 25 March 2015 by the National Institute of Statistics of Côte d'Ivoire (NIS). The ENV2015 collects detailed data on socio-demographic characteristics of households and individuals, household expenditures, health expenditures and use of healthcare over a 4 week recall period. Stratified random sampling at 2 degrees was performed. Stratified 2-degree random sampling was conducted using the 2014 General Population and Housing Census results as a sampling frame. The Côte d'Ivoire ENV2015 has a sample of 12.899 households and 47.635 individuals drawn from the 1075 clusters comprised of 44.8% urban and 55.2% rural clusters. The national territory was divided into 33 zones made up of 31 regions and two autonomous districts of Abidjan and Yamoussoukro (political capital). In the 1st degree, counting Zone (CZ) corresponding to enumeration districts were randomly selected with proportional allocation. Outside the city of Abidjan, at least 23CZ were drawn in each zone. In Abidjan, all 99 CZ were included in the sampling. In the 2nd degree, 12 households were systematically drawn at each counting zone. The minimum size counted per zone was 192 households ( $\alpha$  = 0.05, margin of error = 10% and p = 50%). In each zone, between 276 and 1,188 households were randomly selected taking into consideration the demographic weight of the districts. The sample was constructed to allow for representativeness at both national and county levels as well as urban and rural areas. All analyses were carried out using the software packageSTATA 12.0.

## Variables

The CHE incidence measure, dependent variable, estimates the fraction of households that incur CHE. We used the method proposed by the World Health Organization (WHO).

The incidence of CHE was estimated from a percentage of OOP payments in relation with household consumption expenditure which exceeds a certain threshold [19]. OOP health payments refer to the payments made by household at the point of health service [6]. There is no single accepted threshold for estimating CHE. In this study, we considered households incurred CHE if their total out of pocket health cost exceeded 40% of their non-food expenditure (referred to capacity to pay) [6],[20]. Monthly expenditure data were extracted to estimate CHE. We used the capacity to pay (CTP) method recommended by OMS [6] because it is a good estimate of the measure of financial protection [5]. To obtain OOP payment we subtracted costs that were reimbursed by health insurance from the total reported healthcare cost. The share of OOP health expenditure in the household's capacity to pay is defined as follow:

The denominator CTP is calculated as total household expenditures minus subsistence expenditures, ie, the expenditures necessary for basic needs, the non-subsistence effective income (SE) of household [6]. Where food expenditures are regarded as basic needs, then the average spending on food per person by households (food spending between the 45<sup>th</sup> and 55<sup>th</sup> percentiles) is used as the standard amount for subsistence expenditures. However, when food expenditures are less than subsistence expenditures, the CTP is defined as total household expenditures (Exp) minus food expenditures (F).

If 
$$SE > F$$
,  $CTP = Exp - F$ 

 $If SE \leq F, CTP = Exp - SE$ 

We constructed a variable of CHE as a dichotomous variable with value "1" if OOPCTP exceed the threshold and value "0" if otherwise.

Several characteristics of the household's head and the household were included in the analysis as potential confounders: age, gender, marital status, level of education health insurance of the household headandhis perception of one's social class in five ways.We included too households size, presence (none, at least 1) of children under 5 years of age, adults aged 65 years or older and chronic disease in the household. We classified households into settlement (urban, rural, Abidjan the economic capital), wealth quintiles by using per capita consumption expenditure and into wealth index of commodities. This index was constructed by adding the availability of portable drinking water system, adequate sanitary installation, electricity as a source of lighting, and gas as a source of energy. Adequate sanitary installation obey the following three conditions: garbage disposal by removal by refuse disposal services with or without pre-collection, disposal of domestic wastewater in septic tanks or sewers and evacuation of sewage through water closet called water system. The comfortability variable was measured and coded according to a 5-model score (0, 1, 2, 3 and 4) corresponding to the number of modalities possessed by the individuals. These independent variables are in line with the determinants of CHE described in the background paper of the Bulletin of the WHO [21].

#### Statistical analysis

We first calculated the incidence of CHE and present the distribution of CHE by the sociodemographics and health characteristics. A univariate analysis identified the associations between each explanatory variable and the occurrence of CHE from Pearson's Chi2 test. The age variable (in years) was transformed into a qualitative variable with 3 classes: [15-34], [35-64], [65] and over.

Logistic regression analyses were carried out to identify the relation between sociodemographic health characteristics and occurrence of CHE occurrence by the top-down step method from the most complete model. Then the model with the lowest AIC (Akaike information criterion) was selected. The interactions were then added to the final model. The quality of the model was measured by Wald's test. The adequacy of the model was measured by Hosmer Lemeshow's test. The Odds ratios were calculated and interpreted according to the significance of the p-value and the 95% confidence interval (Cl<sub>95%</sub>). The significance of the statistical tests was set at 0.05. The variables for which p  $\leq$  20% were used in the final model were retained.

## Results

#### Sociodemographics and health characteristics of household

Out of 12,899 households, 4402 carried out OOPs, i.e. nearly 3 out of 10 households (34.13%). The socio-demographic characteristics of households that recorded health expenditures are summarized in Table 1. The heads of households were mainly male (sex ratio = 4.05), the average age in a year was estimated at 42.44±14.53. Near by 64.16 of them were not educated and 77.15% lived in couples. Almost half of the households resided in rural areas (53.02%) and the average household size was estimated at 4.21±2.69. About 4 out of 10 households did not have any wealth commodities (35.66%). Only 2.32% of households'head were insured, while 16.31% of households had a member with a chronic illness, 12.29% included persons over 65 years of age and 54.16% had children under 5 years of age.

## **Distribution of CHE**

Of the 4402 households that made direct payments, 4.02% of households experienced CHE (177). The distribution of CHE at 40% threshold level is shown in Table 1. The univariate analysis revealed an association between CHE and the age of the head of household (p=0.004) his level of education (p<0.001) geographical location (p<0.001), commodities (p<0.001) andperception of one's social class (p<0.001). Household characteristics were also associated, including the presence of an elderly person in the household (p=0.004) ora chronic disease in the household (p=0.003), the size of the household (0.046) and the commodities (p<0.001).

The logistic regression revaled factors increasing or reducing CHE (Table 2). Presence in the household of a person over 65 years of age (ORs= 4.75; Cl<sub>95%</sub>: 1.66 – 13.58) and the existence of chronic disease (ORs= 2.70; Cl<sub>95%</sub>: 1.43 – 3.08). Households with more commodities with the exception of score 4 experienced fewer CHE. The head of the household's lack of affiliation with insurance ((ORs= 0.27; Cl<sub>95%</sub>: 0.09 – 0.85) and a perception of his or her higher social class also reduced the frequency of CHE. Household income approximated by consumption quintiles,genderand education level of the head of household were not associated with CHE. There was an interaction between household size and the presence in the household of a person over 65 years of age (ORs= 0.60 Cl<sub>95%</sub>: 0.40 – 0.91). Large households with persons over 65 years of age experienced less CHEthan household with fewer members (Table 3).

# Discussion

Our results show that nearly 1% of households had experienced CHE in the overall sample and 4% of those who had done OOPs. These frequencies are well below those of other low- and middle-income countries [7], [20-23]. A low incidence of catastrophic payments could reflect the fact that Table 1. Distribution of CHE by socio-demographic and economic characteristics (n=4402).

Varia	blaa		CHE		
varia	bles	Headcount	n	%	P
	Household h	ead characteristics			
	15 - 34	1,481	56	3.8	
Age in year	35 - 64	2,514	92	3.7	0.004*
	≥ 65	407	56	7.1	
Condor	Male	3,531	139	3.9	0.571
Gender	Female	872	38	4.4	
Level of education	Not educated	2,825	116	5.0	<0.001*
	Primary	816	32	3.9	
	Secondary	971	29	3.0	
	Superior	238	0	0	
	Married	3,397	133	3.9	0.156
Marital status	Divorced or widowed	443	25	5.6	
	Single	563	19	3.4	
	Rural	2,335	122	5.2	
Geographic location	Urban	1,601	46	2.9	<0.001*
	Abidjan	467	9	1.9	-
	1	841	44	5.2	0.110
	2	1,031	33	3.2	
Quintile of household's	3	904	42	4.6	
expenditure on consommables	4	856	34	4.0	
	5	771	24	3.1	
Insurance cover	Oui	211	4	3.9	0.959
	Non	4,192	173	4.0	
Convenience score	0	1,570	44	5.2	<0.001*
	1	1,349	33	3.2	
	2	943	42	4.6	
	3	512	34	4.0	
	4	29	24	3.1	
Perception of social class	Very poor	378	39	10.3	<0.001*
	Poor	2,376	106	4.5	
	Middle	1,493	27	1.8	
	Rich	69	4	5.8	
	Very rich	86	1	1.2	
	Household	d characteristics			
	1	588	27	4.6	0.046*
	2-3	1,397	64	4.6	
Size of household	4-5	1,321	59	4.5	
	6-7	687	19	2.8	
	>7	410	8	2.0	
Households with persons aged	Yes	541	34	6.3	0.004
65 and over	No	3,862	143	3.7	0.004*
Households with chronic	Yes	718	43	6.0	
illness	No	3,684	134	3.6	0.003*
Households with children	Yes	2,384	89	3.7	
under 5 years of age	No	2,019	88	4.4	0.291
		_,	~~		

people receive the care they need and are protected from direct payments. However, a low incidence of catastrophic payments could also mean that people do not get (and do not pay for) the care they need.

Methodological differences in the measurement of CHE may limit direct comparisons between studies [5]. However, there is no reference method. In our study, we have adopted the WHO threshold of 40% of households' ability to pay [6]. This threshold has been controversial. Indeed, the remaining 60% of non-food expenditure has different meanings for the richest and poorest quintile households. The choice of threshold level is based on the idea that households will find themselves with a certain balance of income or ability to pay what it takes to spend on other needs [19]. Indeed, it is necessary to take into account the value judgment that differs from one group to another [24], in particular the appreciation of the marginal utility of a penny spent in each group. However, the WHO method based on living expenses seems more sensitive to measuring the occurrence of CHE [5]. On the other hand, the budget share method used in the MDGs overestimates the financial difficulties of rich households and underestimates the difficulties faced by poor households.

The low percentage of CHE observed in our study could be related to the high rate of waiver of care due to lower OOPs in Côte d'Ivoire (about 32% of health expenditures) [13] CNS, 2015]. Renunciation of care is also a measure of non-income poverty [25]. Indeed, the measurement of CHE only takes into account those who have actually used care.

With regard to the presence of chronic disease within households, those with an adult over 65 years of age made households more vulnerable to CHE according to the literature [21], [22], [26-28]. CHE decreased in households with more conveniences of life, when the head of household had a fairly good perception of his or her wealth. These two characteristics can be considered as proxy for socio-economic status. Thus, a better status would contribute to reducing CHE [14,22]. In our study, the presence of a person over 65 years of age in the household reduced CHE in large households. This effect was not observed in the absence of people over 65 years of age. The large size of households was a protective factor for CHE unlike

 Table 2. Relationship between sociodemographic economics health factors and CHE: logistic regression (n=4112).

Variables	OR	CI 95%
Level of education		
Reference : Secondary		
Not educated	1.1	0.70 - 1.72
Primary	1.03	0.61 <b>-</b> 1.78
Superior	1.0	-
Size of household		
Reference : >7		
1	1.78	0.75 - 4.24
2 a 3	1.81	0.83 - 3.91
4 a 5	1.90	0.88 - 4.07
6a/	1.33	0.57 - 3.10
<u>Convenience score</u> Reference : Score 0		
Score 1	0.46*	0.31 - 0.67
Score 2	0.49*	0.30 - 0.74
Score 3	0.12*	0.04 - 0.40
Score 4	0.70	0.08 - 6.04
Insurance cover		
Reference: Yes		
Νο	0.27*	0.09 – 0.85
Perception de sa classe		
Reference : Very poor	0.13*	0.02 - 0.94
Very rich	0.54	0.02 - 0.04
Rich	0.25*	0.15 - 0.42
Middle	0.49*	0.33 - 0.72
Poor		
Households with persons		
aged 65 and over		
Reference : No		
Yes	4.75*	1.66 - 13.58
Households with chronic		
illness		
Reference : Non	2,10*	1.43 - 3.08
Oui		
Size of household*	0.404	
Households with persons	0.60*	0.40 - 0.91
aged 65 and over		
Constant	0.07*	0.03 - 0.17

Table 3. Link between CHE and households with persons over 65 years of age by household size (n=4402)

Households with persons aged 65	Size of household	Headcount	CHE n (%)	р	
No	1	536	21 (3.9)	0.248	
	2 à 3	1,239	46 (3.7)		
	4 à 5	1,172	52 (4.4)		
	6à7	603	17 (2.8)		
	>7	311	7 (2.3)		
Yes	1	51	6 (11.8)	0.002*	
	2à3	158	18 (11.4)		
	4à5	149	7 (4.7)		
	6à7	84	2 (2.3)		
	>7	99	1 (1.0)		

what is usually observed [21,29]. This suggests the complexity of care consumption choices in households. The existence of socio-demographic inequalities in the distribution of CHE is alarming since our estimates only reflect households that have been able to reach and actually use health services. However, waiver of care was important in Côte d'Ivoire (about one-third of individuals who reported a morbid condition) and was more prevalent among people over 65 years of age [30]. This measure of financial protection tends to underestimate the burden of health expenditures on household finances and well-being [25]. This result reinforces the need for health services utilization to be taken into account when measuring the consequences of health expenditures. Moreover, the frequency of CHE is expected to increase in Côte d'Ivoire in the absence of a financial protection system, given that the frequency of chronic diseases is increasing as well as the population over 65 years of age.

Our results on the influence of health insurance on CHE feed into the mixed information in the literature on this subject. While some studies indicate that health insurance reduces the risk of experiencing CHE [14,31], others do not show the protective effects[Barasa EW, 2017; Bredenkamp C, 2016; Xu Y, 2015]. Insured persons were more exposed to CHE, which seems to reflect the complexity of individuals' consumption choices and dysfunctions in the execution of insurance contracts. Indeed, delays in the payment of benefits by insurance companies sometimes lead healthcare providers to suspend their benefits, so insured persons do not benefit from financial protection during this time.

As opposed to the literature, the area of residence was not a predictor of CHE [28,32]. Our study may have some limitations because the data we used were collected for a more general assessment of household living standards, which goes beyond health issues. However, most studies of this type use the same type of data with information on household expenditures. The sample analyzed in this study was not superimposed on the results of the 2014 general population and housing census, where Abidjan represented 22.7% of households and 19.4% of individuals, with a sex ratio of 0.98. The urban population excluding Abidjan represented 30.9% of the population and the rural population 49.7%. However, differences in the distribution of socio-economic variables justified the search for predictors of CHE in these different areas of residence. The method of collecting expenditure data could introduce a memorization bias. There may be an underestimation of the amounts reported. The more the expenses are with smaller amounts, the more challenging memorization is. However, the possible information bias introduced by the different recall periods (3 months) was minimized by the significant nature of the disease event measured. In addition, most studies of this type use the same recall period or a longer 12-month period [33]. The same periods used allow a comparison of the parameters studied between studies.

## Conclusion

This work has highlighted predictors of CHE that could serve as a lever for actions to reduce social inequalities in health. Factors influencing the increase in CHE are ageing, socio-economic status (the rich), and the existence of a chronic disease and a person over 65 years of age in the household. This work also shows the need to refine the understanding of the social factors at the collective and individual level that determine care consumption behaviors as financial barriers and geographical access issues are overcome. Social protection of vulnerable groups within the health system must be implemented through broader social policies aimed at improving the economic situation of the poor as well as living conditions such as access to welfare commodities (drinking water, sanitation, electricity and domestic gas). The negative impact of chronic diseases in terms of increased household health expenditure has been demonstrated. Public health funding mechanisms should focus on prevention.

# Abbreviations

CHE: Catastrophic Health expenditure; OOP: out of pocket; NIS: National Institute of Statistics of Cote d'Ivoire; ENV15 :

## Authors' contributions

ARAK, KLK and ASSO conceived the study design, data analysis and interpretation.

AT contributed to conception, design and acquisition of data. ARAK, KK and JK conducted the data analysis. ARAK and ASSO drafted and critically revised the early version of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank Mr. Tapé Christian and Mr. Touré Amadou from NIS.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

### Funding

No funding sources.

#### References

- 1. O'donnell O, van Doorslaer E, Rannan-Eliya RP, Somanathan A, Adhikari SR, Akkazieva B, et al. Who pays for health care in Asia? Journal of Health Economics. 2008, 27: 460-75.
- 2. World Health Organisation. The world Health Report: Health Systems financing: The path to universal coverage. Geneva. 2010; 128p.
- 3. World Health Organisation. World Bank. Tracking universal health coverage: first global monitoring report. Geneva. Washington DC. 2015.
- 4. Xu K, Evans DB, Carrin G, Aguilar-Rivera AM, Musgrove P, et al. Protecting households from catastrophic health spending. Health Affairs (Millwood). 2007, 26: 972–83.
- 5. Hsu J, Flores G, Evans D, Mills A, Hanson K. Measuring financial protection against catastrophic health expenditures methodological challenges for global monitoring. 2018; 17(1).
- 6. Xu K. Distribution of health payments and catastrophic expenditures: methodology. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2005.
- Wagstaff A, Flores G, Hsu J, Smitz M-F, Chepynoga K, Buisman LR, Van Wilgenburg K, Eozenou P. Progress on catastrophic health spending in 133 countries: a retrospective observational study. Lancet Glob Health. 2018; 6(2):e169-79.
- 8. World Health Organisation. State of health inequality: Indonesia. Geneva: World Health Organisation. 2017
- 9. Su TT, Pokhrel S, Gbangou A, Flessa S. Determinants of houeshold health expenditure on western institutional health care. The European Journal of Health Economics. 2006; 7(3): 195-203.
- 10. Chuma J, Maina T. Catastrophic health care spending and impoverishment in Kenya. BMC Health Services Research 2012; 413 (12).
- 11. Whitehead M, Dahlgren G, Evans T. Equity and Health sector reforms: can low income countries escape the medical poverty trap? Lancet. 2001; 358: 833-36.
- Samba M, Attia R, Diabagaté A, Djaha K, Baujot M. Utilisation des services de santé en Côte d'Ivoire. Analyse situationnelle (2007). Médecine d'Afrique Noire. 2015; 62 (7): 367-372.
- 13. Ministère de la Santé et de la lutte contre le SIDA. Comptes de la Santé 2013. République de Côte d'Ivoire. 2015.
- 14. Xu K, Evans DB Kawabata, K, Zeramdini R, Klavus J, Murray CJL. Household catastrophic health expenditure: a multi country analysis. Lancet 2003; 362: 111–17.
- Attia AR, Oga AS, Koffi K, Kouadio KL, Monnet D. Satisfaction des besoins en médicaments dans le cadre de la gratuité ciblée chez les enfants de 0 à 59 mois, 2012, Abidjan. Cahier de Santé Publique. 2015; 14 (2): 94-102.
- Ridde V, Morestin F. A scoping review of the literature on the abolition of user fees in health care services in Africa. Health Policy Plan. 2011; 26 (1): 1-11.
- 17. Adisa O. Investigation determinants of catastrophic health spending among poorly insured elderly households in urban Nigeria. International Journal for Equity in Health. 2015; 14: 79.

- Okoronkwo IL, Ekpemiro JN, Okwor EU, Okpala PU, Adeyemo FO. Economic burden and catastrophic cost among people living with type2 diabetes mellitus attending a tertiary health institution in south-east zone, Nigeria. BMC Res Notes. 2015; 8: 527.
- 19. Wagstaff A, Van Doorslaer E. Catastrophe and impoverishment in paying for health care: with applications to Vietnam 1993-1998. Health Econ. 2003.12: 921-34.
- 20. Khan JAM, Ahmed S, Evans TG. Catastrophic healthcare expenditure and poverty related to out-of-pocket payments for healthcare in Bangladesh an estimation of financial risk protection of universal health coverage. Health policy and planning. 2017; 32 (8): 1102-1110.
- 21. Li Y, Wu Q, Xu L, Legge D, Hao Y, et al. Factors affecting catastrophic health expenditure and impoverishment from medical expenses in China: policy implications of universal health insurance. Bull World Health Organ. 2012; 90: 664-671.
- 22. Barasa EW, Maina T, Ravishankar N. Assessing the impoverishing effects, and factors associated with the incidence of catastrophic health care payments in Kenya. International Journal for Equity in Health. 2017; 16:31.
- Jacobs B, de Groot R, Fernandes Antunes A. Financial access to health care for older people in Cambodia: 10-year trends (2004-14) and determinants of catastrophic health expenses. Int J Equity Health. 2016; 15:94.
- Feder J, Moon M, Scanlon W. Medicare reform: nibbling at. catastrophic cost. Health Affairs (Millwood). 1987, 6: 5–19.
- Saksena P, Hsu J, Evans DB. Financial risk protection and universal health coverage: evidence and measurement challenges. Plos medicine. 2014; 11(9).
- Choi JW, Kim JH, Yoo KB, Park EC. Association between chronic disease and catastrophic health expenditure in Korea. BMC Health Services Research. 2015; 15:26.
- Jaspers L, Colpani V, Chaker L, van der Lee SJ, Muka T, et al. The global impact of non-communicable diseases on households and impoverishment: a systematic review. Eur J Epidemiol. 2015; 30 (3): 163 – 188.
- Panday A, Ploubidis G, Clarke L, Dandona L. Trends in catastrophic health expenditure in India: 1993 to 2014. Bul World Health Organ. 2018; 96: 18-28.
- 29. Myint CJ, Pavlova M, Groot W. Catastrophic health care expenditure in Myanmar: policy implications in leading progress towards universal health coverage. Int J Equity Health. 2019; 18: 118.
- Attia Konan AR, Oga ASS, Touré A, Kouadio L. Determinants of Utilization of Modern Health Facilites in Côte D'ivoire: Evidence from a National Household Survey. African Journal of Health Economics. 2018; 7(1): 1-15.
- 31. Kusi A, Hansen KS, Asante FA, Enemark U. Does the National Health Insurance Scheme provide financial protection to households in Ghana? BMC Health Services Research. 2015; 15: 331.
- 32. Yardim MS, Cilingiroglu N, Yardim N. Catastrophic health expenditure and impoverishment in Turkey. Health policy. 2010; 94: 26-33.
- 33. Bazie GW, Adimassie MT. Modern health services utilization and associated factors in North East Ethiopia. Plos one. 2017; 12 (9).

Cite this article: Akissi Régine Attia-Konan, Agbaya Serge Stéphane Oga, Kouamé Koffi, Jérôme Kouamé, Amadou Touré, Luc Kouadio. Assessing factors associated with Catastrophic Healthcare Expenditure in Côte d'Ivoire: Evidence from The Household Living Standard Survey 2015. Health Econ Outcome Res Open Access , 2020, 6(3), 006-010.