Journal of Biology and Today's World ISSN 2322-3308

Journal home page: http://journals.lexispublisher.com/jbtw/

Received: 21 July 2015 • Accepted: 23 August 2015



doi:10.15412/J.JBTW. 01040801

Prevalence and Socio-demographic Factors of Aggression among Medical College Students

Mehdi Mirzaei-Alavijeh¹, Parastoo Hossini¹, Somayeh Hayati¹, Abbas Aghaei², Behzad Karami-Matin^{3*}

¹ Student Research Committee, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

² Substance Abuse Prevention Research Center, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

³ Research Center for Environmental Determinants of Health, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

*correspondence should be addressed to Behzad Karami-Matin, Research Center for Environmental Determinants of Health, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran; Tell: +988338356434; Fax: +988338356434; Email: <u>BKM_1344@yahoo.com</u>.

ABSTRACT

Aggressive behavior in college students can be considered as an analyst for crime, drug abuse, depression and academic failure. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of and the factors related with aggression among a sample of Kermanshah medical college students. In this cross-sectional, showed in Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, in the western Iran, a total of 601 students were randomly selected to voluntarily participate in the study. Participants filled out a Buss-Perry aggression questionnaire. Data were analyzed by SPSS version 21 using logistic regression statistical tests at 95% significant level. Almost, 15.3% of the participants were aggressive. The mean score of aggression was 61.1 [95% CI: 59.6, 62.7], ranged from 29 to 140. Logistic regression showed that marital status and smoking were the most influential predictive factors on aggressive behaviour. Our findings showed a high level of aggressive behaviour among college students; it seems that a designing intervention to reduce aggressive behaviour and improve mental health program among college students is necessary.

Key words: College Students, Aggression, Kermanshah

Copyright © 2015 Mehdi Mirzaei-Alavijeh et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License.

1. INTRODUCTION

ggression has always been complicated to define; this behavior is affected by conditional and Lpsychological factors on one hand, and genetics and biological factors on the other hand. Thus, it is problematic to offer a correct description of the behavior. In this respect, Baron and Richardson suggested that such behavior aims to hurt another party and leads to defensive and avoidance reactions from the victim of the behavior (1). Aggression may be seen in various forms; for example, verbal and physical form (introducing behavioral components), wrath (excitement aspect) and hostility (cognitive aspect). Additionally, existing evidences suggest the increase of aggression during last decades and its negative effects on human interactions and relationships (2). Several studies indicated that aggression is higher among men than women, blacks than whites, in cold regions than in the tropics regions and among migrants (1, 3). In addition, environmental and family factors are associated with aggressive behavior (4, 5). Other factors

impacting aggression could be deprivation, rejection, poverty, being punished and being sexually and emotionally abused (2). Aggression is one of the most important concerns of psychiatrists and highly impacts individual's behavior and personality (5). Considering several negative consequences of aggression (including negative image among peers and tutors, rejection by peers, education failure, drug abuse, murder, suicide and crime), many researchers found it necessary to investigate the issue (2). Aggression and its consequences among university students remind us of the importance of planning and offering preventive intervention programs. In this regards, several study suggested that the epidemiological studies are the first step to design preventive programs (6-10). The objective of this study was to determine the prevalence of and the factors associated with aggression among a sample of Kermanshah medical college students in the western Iran.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Participants

This cross-sectional study was conducted on 601 medical university students in Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences in the western Iran during 2015. The study has been approved by Student Research Committee at the Kermanshah University of medical sciences. To enroll the participants and collect data, the following stages were followed: first, different faculties of the Kermanshah University of medical sciences were considered as clusters. Then based on faculty size, students in each faculty were randomly selected into this study, if they agreed. Finally, the volunteers were given the self-assessment questionnaire.

2.2. Measurement

Questionnaire included two sections that were comprised of 44 questions:

Part one (demographic data): this included 15 questions about age (years), sex (female, male), field of study (medical, dental, pharmacology, rehabilitation, paramedics, health, nursing and midwifery), marital status (single, married), living in dormitory (yes, no), economic status of family (very weak, weak, average, good very good), parents' educational level (primary school, secondary school, high school diploma, and academic education), parents' divorce (yes, no), cigarette smoking (yes, no), drug abuse (yes, no), alcohol consumption (yes, no), average age of cigarette smoking, drug abuse and alcohol drinks for the first time (year). Part two (Buss-Perry aggression questionnaire): aggression was determined by Buss-Perry aggression questionnaire (11). This scale has 29 items in which participants rank certain statements along a 5-point continuum from "extremely uncharacteristic of me" to "extremely characteristic of me". Example of the items is: "once in a while I can't control the urge to hit another person". In overall, this scale divided the participants in two classes (aggressive; who had scores greater than 78) and non-aggressive (who had scores less than 78). Cronbach's Alpha coefficient for this questionnaire was 0.83.

2.3. Data Analysis

Data were analysed by SPSS version 21 using appropriate statistical test, including logistic regression at 95% significant level.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean age of participants was 22.19 years [SD: 3.3], ranged from 18 to 42 years. More details of demographic characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1.

Table 1.Demographic Characteristics of the participants				
Variables	Number	Percent		
Gender				
Male	253	42.1		
Female	348	57.9		
Marital Status				
Married	85	14.1		
Single	516	85.9		
Father's educational level				
Illiterate	55	9.2		
Under Diploma	133	22.1		
Diploma	179	29.8		
Academic Education	227	37.8		
Unanswered	7	1.2		
Mother's Educational Level				
Illiterate	86	14.3		
Under Diploma	213	35.4		
Diploma	151	25.1		
Academic Education	142	23.6		
Unanswered	9	1.5		
Parents' Divorce				
Yes	27	4.5		
No	556	92.3		
Unanswered	19	3.2		
Economic Status				
Very Good	66	11		
Good	240	39.9		

Average	257	42.8
Weak	26	4.3
Very Weak	6	1
Unanswered	6	1

About 11.6%, 1.2% and 7.7 % of the respondents had a history of cigarette smoking, opium use, and alcohol drinking respectively. Furthermore, our findings indicated that the mean initiation age for cigarette smoking, opium use, and alcohol drinking were 17.62 (ranged from 6 to 25 years), 18.66 (ranged from 15 to 21 years) and 18.61 years (ranged from 11 to 28 years), respectively. Almost based on Buss-Perry aggression questionnaire, 15.3% of

participants were aggressive. Logistic regression (backward stepwise method) building procedure was directed and in conclusion on 11th phase the procedure was stopped and the top model was selected. It was shown that between the socio-demographic features, marital status, and smoking were the most significant prognostic factors for aggression among participants (Table 2).

Table 2. Multiple logistic regression analysis for socio-demographic characteristics related to aggression among participants

Variables	Crude OR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P value
	Final Mo	odel; Step 11		-
Marital Status				
Single	1.00		1.00	
Married	2.144 (1.217 - 3.779)	0.008	2.503 (1.337 - 4.687)	0.004
Smoking				
No	1.00		1.00	
Yes	2.667 (1.476 - 4.817)	0.001	2.665 (1.419 - 5.005)	0.002

Our findings indicated that 15.3% of the participants were aggressive. In this regard several studies indicated the incidence of 20 to 50 percent of aggression among young adults (2, 12, 13). Aggression in educational environment can lead to several negative effects such as reduction of academic achievement. These findings can be regarded as an alarm for the educational authorities and the factors predicting aggressive behavior among college students should be studied in order to take steps for removing them. Our findings showed that the married and smoking individuals were at greater risk of aggressive behavior. In this regard, some studies reported that married people were more aggressive than singles due to verbal and non-verbal arguments with spouse, supplying family economy and dealing with children (14). According to the results it seems that in the design of preventive interventions for aggression special attention should be paid to the married students. Our study also showed that aggressive behavior is often accompanied by the use of smoking, which is in line with the findings of earlier studies (2, 14). In this regard, several studies reported that aggression could be a predicator to delinquency, drug abuse, depression and school failure (2). Epstien et al. also showed that aggression was associated with drug abuse, including smoking, alcohol, marijuana, etc. (14). To overcome their excitement and gain internal relaxation, some people abusing drugs, which is an excitement-based reaction to facing problems, but it has several negative consequences. Therefore, it seems necessary to introduce stress management programs and help students encounter their problems to improve their health.

4. CONCLUSION

College students have been considered as the future power of their society and psychological problems such as aggression could affect their health and create several problems for them. Therefore, it seems necessary to offer educational programs to control anger and prevent aggression among college students. It also may be helpful to recognize the factors resulting in aggression to plan relative interventions.

Funding/Support

Not mentioned any funding/ support by authors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by the Student Research Committee at the Kermanshah University of medical sciences, Iran. We would like to thank Student Research Committee at the Kermanshah University of medical sciences for its financial support of this study.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interests with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

1. Baron RA, Richardson DR. Human aggression: Springer Science & Business Media; 2004.

2. Zinatmotlagh F, Ataee M, Jalilian F, Mirzaeialavijeh M, Aghaei A, Karimzadeh Shirazi K. Predicting Aggression among Male Adolescents: an Application of the Theory of Planned Behavior. Health promotion perspectives. 2013;3(2):269-75. PubMed PMID: 24688977. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC3963670. Epub 2013/01/01. eng.

3. Averill JR. Anger and aggression: An essay on emotion: Springer Science & Business Media; 2012.

4. Fr Dr Jude J Obiunu R. The Effect of Age, Parents and Teachers Attitude on Aggressive and Non-Aggressive Anti-Social Behaviour Of Adolescents. Global Journal of Human-Social Science Research. 2014;14(1).

5. Pinquart M, Sörensen S. Gender differences in self-concept and psychological well-being in old age a meta-analysis. The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological sciences and social sciences. 2001;56(4):P195-P213.

6. Jalilian F, Karami Matin B, Ahmadpanah M, Ataee M, Ahmadi Jouybari T, Eslami AA, et al .Socio-demographic characteristics associated with cigarettes smoking, drug abuse and alcohol drinking among male medical university students in Iran. Journal of research in health sciences. 2015;15(1):42-6.

7. Jalilian F, Mari A, Mahboby M, Motlagh F, Aghaei A, Mirzaei Alavijeh M, et al. Explain of Ecstasy Use among Kermanshah Adolescents, the West of

Iran: an Application of the Theory of Planned Behavior. Life Science Journal. 2014;11(1s):82-6.

8. Eslami AA, Jalilian F, Ataee M, Alavijeh MM, Mahboubi M ,Afsar A, et al. Intention and Willingness in Understanding Ritalin Misuse Among Iranian Medical College Students: A Cross-Sectional Study. Global journal of health science. 2014;6(6):p43.

9. Jalilian F, Hazavehei SMM, Vahidinia AA, Jalilian M, Moghimbeigi A. Prevalence and related factors for choosing self-medication among pharmacies visitors based on Health Belief Model in Hamadan Province, West of Iran. Journal of research in health sciences. 2013;13(1):81-5.

10. Jalilian F, Emdadi S. Factors related to regular undergoing Pap-smear test: application of theory of planned behavior. Journal of research in health sciences. 2011;11(2):103-8.

11. Buss AH, Perry M. The aggression questionnaire. Journal of personality and social psychology. 1992 Sep;63(3):452-9 PubMed PMID: 1403624. Epub 1992/09/01. eng.

12. Undheim AM, Sund AM. Prevalence of bullying and aggressive behavior and their relationship to mental health problems among 12- to 15-year-old Norwegian adolescents. European child & adolescent psychiatry 2010. Nov;19(11):803-11. PubMed PMID: 20814707. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC2922771. Epub 2010/09/04. eng.

13. Ivarsson T, Broberg AG, Arvidsson T, Gillberg C. Bullying in adolescence: psychiatric problems in victims and bullies as measured by the Youth Self Report (YSR) and the Depression Self-Rating Scale (DSRS). Nordic journal of psychiatry. 2005;59(5):365-73. PubMed PMID: 16757465. Epub 2006/06/08. eng.

14. Epstein JÄ, Botvin GJ, Diaz T, Williams C, Griffin K. Aggression, victimization and problem behavior among inner-city minority adolescents. Journal of Child & Adolescent Substance Abuse. 2000;9(3):51-66.