# Personalized Neurorehabilitation: Technology Enhances Recovery

#### Rosa Almeida

Aging & Neuro Rehab Unit, Lisbon Institute of Medicine, Lisbon, Portugal

#### Corresponding Authors\*

Rosa Almeida

Aging & Neuro Rehab Unit, Lisbon Institute of Medicine, Lisbon, Portugal

E-mail: r.almeida@lisbonaging.pt

**Copyright:** 2025 Rosa Almeida. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 01-Oct-2025; Accepted: 10-Nov-2025; Published: 10-Nov-2025

## Introduction

Robotics and virtual reality are significantly transforming stroke rehabilitation. These technologies provide intensive, repetitive, and engaging therapy, which is crucial for neuroplasticity. We're seeing better motor function recovery because these tools can be customized to individual needs, making therapy both effective and, frankly, more interesting for patients [1].

Virtual reality is increasingly a cornerstone in neurological rehabilitation. What this really means is that immersive virtual environments are proving effective for a wide range of neurological conditions, enhancing motor, cognitive, and balance functions. The evidence suggests it's a valuable adjunctive therapy, offering personalized, motivating exercises that traditional methods might struggle to match [2].

Non-invasive neuromodulation techniques are showing real promise for improving motor function after spinal cord injury. Things like transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) and repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) can facilitate neural plasticity, helping patients regain some control. It's not a magic bullet, but it offers a supplementary approach to conventional therapy, enhancing recovery pathways [3].

Upper limb exoskeletons are changing the game for stroke rehabilitation. This review highlights that these robotic devices provide intensive, repetitive, and task-specific training, which is incredibly beneficial for motor recovery. The key is their ability to assist and challenge patients at different levels, optimizing neuroplastic changes and helping them regain arm and hand function [4].

Telerehabilitation is no longer just a fallback; it's a vital tool in neurological disorder management. Here's the thing: it offers accessibility and con-

tinuity of care, especially for those with limited mobility or geographical barriers. While not a complete replacement for in-person therapy, it allows for consistent monitoring and exercise delivery, showing real promise for maintaining and improving functional outcomes [5].

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is making significant inroads into stroke rehabilitation. What we're seeing is AI being used for everything from predicting recovery outcomes to optimizing therapy intensity and personalizing treatment plans. It can analyze vast amounts of data to provide insights that help clinicians tailor interventions, ultimately leading to more efficient and effective recovery processes [6].

Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) are emerging as a powerful tool in neurorehabilitation. Let's break it down: BCIs allow patients to control external devices or engage with virtual environments using only their thoughts, bypassing damaged neural pathways. This direct brain control promotes motor recovery, especially in severe cases, by reinforcing neural connections and enhancing motor intention [7].

Motor imagery training is proving its worth in stroke rehabilitation. The simple idea is that mentally rehearsing movements, even without physical execution, can activate similar brain regions as actual movement, facilitating neural reorganization. This non-physical approach helps improve motor function, especially when combined with physical therapy, by priming the brain for recovery [8].

Functional electrical stimulation (FES) is a powerful tool for upper limb recovery in chronic stroke patients. Applying electrical impulses to muscles that are weak or paralyzed helps to elicit contractions, which can improve motor control, reduce spasticity, and increase range of motion. It essentially re-educates the muscles and nerves, boosting functional independence [9].

Personalized neurorehabilitation is the future; that's clear. Instead of one-size-fits-all, this approach uses individual patient data – genetics, imaging, behavioral profiles – to tailor interventions specifically. It's about optimizing treatments for each person, leading to more targeted and potentially more effective recovery, moving us beyond generic protocols [10].

## **Description**

Modern neurorehabilitation is undergoing a significant transformation, with technologies like robotics and virtual reality (VR) playing a crucial role. These approaches deliver intensive, repetitive, and highly engaging therapy, essential for promoting neuroplasticity. We see improved motor function recovery because these tools offer customizable interventions that make therapy effective and more appealing to patients [1]. Virtual reality, particularly immersive environments, is proving to be a cornerstone in neurological rehabilitation, effectively enhancing motor, cognitive, and

balance functions across various neurological conditions. It serves as a valuable adjunctive therapy, providing personalized and motivating exercises that often surpass the capabilities of traditional methods [2]. For upper limb recovery after stroke, exoskeletons are changing the game. These robotic devices provide intensive, repetitive, and task-specific training, greatly benefiting motor recovery. Their ability to assist and challenge patients at different levels optimizes neuroplastic changes, helping individuals regain arm and hand function [4].

Beyond external robotic assistance, non-invasive neuromodulation techniques are showing real promise for improving motor function, particularly after spinal cord injury. Methods like transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) and repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) facilitate neural plasticity, assisting patients in regaining some control. While not a complete solution, these techniques offer a supplementary path to conventional therapy, enhancing recovery pathways [3]. In a similar vein, Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) are emerging as a powerful tool in neurore-habilitation. Let's break it down: BCIs enable patients to control external devices or interact with virtual environments solely through their thoughts, effectively bypassing damaged neural pathways. This direct brain control fosters motor recovery, especially in severe cases, by reinforcing neural connections and enhancing motor intention [7].

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is making significant inroads into stroke rehabilitation. What we're seeing is AI being used for everything from predicting recovery outcomes to optimizing therapy intensity and personalizing treatment plans. It can analyze vast amounts of data to provide insights that help clinicians tailor interventions, ultimately leading to more efficient and effective recovery processes [6]. Simultaneously, Telerehabilitation is no longer just a fallback; it's a vital tool in managing neurological disorders. Here's the thing: it offers accessibility and continuity of care, especially for those with limited mobility or geographical barriers. While not a complete replacement for in-person therapy, it allows for consistent monitoring and exercise delivery, showing real promise for maintaining and improving functional outcomes [5].

Motor imagery training is proving its worth in stroke rehabilitation. The simple idea is that mentally rehearsing movements, even without physical execution, can activate similar brain regions as actual movement, facilitating neural reorganization. This non-physical approach helps improve motor function, especially when combined with physical therapy, by priming the brain for recovery [8]. Functional Electrical Stimulation (FES) is another powerful tool specifically for upper limb recovery in chronic stroke patients. Applying electrical impulses to muscles that are weak or paralyzed helps to elicit contractions, which can improve motor control, reduce spasticity, and increase range of motion. It essentially re-educates the muscles and nerves, boosting functional independence [9].

Looking to the future, personalized neurorehabilitation is the clear direction. Instead of a one-size-fits-all approach, this method utilizes individual patient data – including genetics, imaging, and behavioral profiles – to tailor interventions specifically. It's about optimizing treatments for each person, leading to more targeted and potentially more effective recovery, moving us beyond generic protocols and towards truly individualized care [10].

#### **Conclusion**

Neurorehabilitation is undergoing a significant transformation, driven by innovative technologies and personalized approaches. Virtual reality and robotics are key, providing intensive, engaging therapy crucial for neuroplasticity and improved motor function after conditions like stroke. These tools can be tailored to individual patient needs, making recovery more effective and motivating. Non-invasive neuromodulation techniques, such as transcranial direct current stimulation and repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation, offer supplementary ways to enhance neural plasticity and motor control, particularly after spinal cord injury. Upper limb exoskeletons further aid stroke patients by delivering task-specific, repetitive training, optimizing neuroplastic changes. Telerehabilitation has emerged as a vital tool, offering accessibility and continuity of care for neurological disorders, especially for those with mobility challenges. Artificial Intelligence (AI) is optimizing treatment plans and predicting recovery outcomes by analyzing vast amounts of data, leading to more efficient processes. Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) empower patients to control devices with their thoughts, fostering motor recovery in severe cases. Even non-physical methods like motor imagery training enhance motor function by priming the brain for recovery. Functional Electrical Stimulation (FES) improves upper limb control and reduces spasticity in stroke patients by re-educating muscles. The clear future direction is personalized neurorehabilitation, utilizing individual patient data to create targeted and highly effective recovery pathways, moving beyond generic, one-size-fits-all protocols.

## References

- Marco B, Anna C, Sara B. Robotics and virtual reality in stroke rehabilitation: a narrative review. Front Neurol. 2024;15:1352482.
- 2. Albin M, Kumar S, Gupta P. Virtual reality in neurological rehabilitation: *A comprehensive review of the current evidence and future directions. J Clin Neurosci.* 2023;117:118-129.
- Al-Qassab D, Al-Shami S, Al-Qattan H. Non-invasive neuromodulation for improving motor function after spinal cord injury: A systematic review. J Clin Neurosci. 2023;118:103-111.
- Biondi E, Cerasa A, Pirritano M. Exoskeletons for upper limb rehabilitation after stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Neuroeng Rehabil*. 2022;19(1):136.
- Alkhuwaiter T, Al-Mohanna R, Alharbi A. Telerehabilitation in neurological disorders: A systematic review of current evidence and future directions. J Clin Neurosci. 2023;117:91-100.
- Fang R, Du Y, Wang W. Artificial intelligence applications in stroke rehabilitation: A narrative review. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2024;33(2):107490.
- Monge-Pereira E, Ibáñez-Pascual J, Alguacil-Diego IM. Brain-computer interface for neurorehabilitation: A systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials. *J Clin Neurosci.* 2021;89:267-279.
- Liu K, Li Z, Huang Q. The Efficacy of Motor Imagery Training in Stroke Rehabilitation: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Neural Plast*. 2023;2023:5021577.
- 9. Kim K, Lee S, Kim EJ. Effectiveness of functional electrical stimulation for upper limb rehabilitation in chronic stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol.* 2023;70:102781.

10. Liew SL, Anglin JM, Beer RF. Personalized neurorehabilitation: A scoping review of the current evidence and future directions. Arch Phys Med Rehabil.

2024;105(3):576-590.