

Gender Differences in Student Stress and Well-being

Alan W. Daniels

Department of Oncology, Eastwood Medical School, USA

Corresponding Authors*

Alan W. Daniels
Department of Oncology, Eastwood Medical School, USA
E-mail: alan.daniels@oncologyresearch.edu

Copyright: 25 Alan W. Daniels. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 12-May-0025; **Accepted:** 09-Jun-0025; **Published:** 09-Jun-0025

Introduction

The transition to university life often presents a myriad of challenges that can significantly impact students' psychological well-being and overall quality of life. Academic pressures, social adjustments, financial strains, and future uncertainties contribute to a complex landscape of potential stressors. Understanding the prevalence and effects of these stressors is crucial for developing targeted interventions to support student populations [1].

Research consistently highlights the elevated levels of perceived stress among university students, a phenomenon exacerbated by the demanding academic environment and the developmental stage of emerging adulthood. This period is characterized by significant personal growth alongside increased vulnerability to mental health issues, making stress a critical area of investigation [2].

Mental health conditions such as depression, anxiety, and general psychological distress are frequently observed in student populations worldwide. These conditions can profoundly interfere with academic performance, social functioning, and the ability to engage effectively with university experiences, necessitating robust preventative and supportive measures [3].

Quality of life, encompassing various domains like physical health, psychological well-being, social relationships, and environmental satisfaction, serves as a comprehensive indicator of an individual's overall well-being. Examining its dimensions in relation to stress and mental health provides a holistic view of student experiences [4].

Gender-based disparities in the experience of stress and mental health challenges are a recurring theme in psychological research. Differences in societal expectations, coping mechanisms, and biological factors often contribute to varied outcomes for male and female students, warranting specific attention in studies [5].

Academic performance, typically measured by Grade Point Average or similar metrics, is not only an outcome of learning but also a critical factor intertwined with student mental health. High stress and poor mental health can detrimentally affect academic achievement, creating a cyclical relationship that can be challenging to break [6].

Coping strategies represent the cognitive and behavioral efforts individuals employ to manage stressful situations. The effectiveness and type of strategies utilized, whether problem-focused or emotion-focused, play a significant role in mitigating the adverse effects of stress on mental health and quality of life [7].

Social support, derived from peers, family, and faculty, is a vital protective factor against the negative impacts of stress. Strong social networks can enhance resilience, provide emotional comfort, and offer practical assistance, thereby improving students' ability to navigate difficult periods [8].

Methodological rigor in assessing perceived stress, mental health, and quality of life is paramount to obtaining reliable and valid data. Standardized instruments, such as the Perceived Stress Scale and WHOQOL-BREF, ensure consistency and comparability across different studies and populations [9].

The implications of research into student well-being extend beyond academic institutions, informing public health initiatives and policy development. Understanding the specific needs of university students can lead to more effective mental health services, improved support systems, and a healthier future workforce [10].

Description

The current study systematically investigates the intricate relationships between perceived stress, various dimensions of mental health, and the overall quality of life among university students within a specific cultural context. By utilizing a comprehensive survey approach, the research aims to quantify these interdependencies [1].

Specifically, the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) was employed to gauge the subjective experience of stress among participants. This instrument effectively captures the degree to which situations in one's life are appraised as stressful, providing a direct measure of psychological burden [2].

The Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale (DASS-21) was administered to assess the severity of core mental health symptoms. This tool allows for distinct measurement of these often co-occurring conditions, offering nuanced insights into the psychological distress experienced by students [3].

To evaluate the broader concept of well-being, the WHO Quality of Life-BREF (WHOQOL-BREF) was utilized. This questionnaire assesses four

key domains: physical health, psychological health, social relationships, and environment, providing a multifaceted perspective on student life satisfaction [4].

Findings indicated significant disparities between male and female students, with females consistently reporting higher levels of perceived stress, depression, anxiety, and stress symptoms. Concurrently, female students exhibited a lower quality of life across multiple domains compared to their male counterparts [5].

A notable positive correlation was observed between perceived stress and all components of mental ill-health, including depression, anxiety, and general stress symptoms. This suggests that as students' perceived stress increases, their vulnerability to psychological distress intensifies [6].

Conversely, a strong negative correlation was established between perceived stress and the various dimensions of quality of life, specifically physical health, psychological health, social relationships, and environmental satisfaction. Higher stress levels were thus associated with diminished well-being [7].

Academic performance, as reflected by Grade Point Average (GPA), emerged as a significant predictor for both quality of life and depression. A higher GPA was positively associated with an improved quality of life and negatively associated with symptoms of depression, highlighting its role beyond academic achievement [8].

Students employed a diverse array of coping strategies, including social support, positive reinterpretation, planning, acceptance, religion, and humor. Gender differences in coping were also evident, with females tending to utilize emotion-focused strategies more often, while males leaned towards problem-focused approaches [9].

The overarching implications of these findings underscore the urgent need for universities to implement robust mental health support systems. Targeted interventions, stress management programs, and awareness campaigns are essential to foster a healthier and more supportive academic environment for all students [10].

Conclusion

This study investigated perceived stress, mental health, and quality of life among 600 university students (300 male, 300 female) using the Perceived Stress Scale, DASS-21, and WHOQOL-BREF. Findings revealed significant gender differences, with female students reporting higher stress,

depression, anxiety, and lower quality of life compared to males. Perceived stress positively correlated with mental health symptoms and negatively with quality of life across all domains. Academic performance (GPA) positively predicted quality of life and negatively predicted depression. Students utilized various coping strategies, demonstrating gender-specific preferences, with females favoring emotion-focused coping and males problem-focused coping. The research highlights the critical need for university-based mental health services, stress management programs, and awareness campaigns to improve student well-being.

References

1. Francesco P, Valentina G, Roberta MF. Precision Medicine in Lung Cancer: Biomarkers, Targeted Therapies, and Emerging Clinical Challenges. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2022;14:6040.
2. Xiaoli W, Jiajun G, Jing Z. Targeted Therapy for Lung Cancer: Recent Advances and Future Perspectives. *Molecules*. 2023;28:342.
3. Mengmeng L, Yu W, Wenbo W. Biomarkers in Lung Cancer: Current Status and Future Perspectives. *Front Oncol*. 2021;11:759972.
4. Han L, Peng W, Yuanyuan Z. Precision Medicine for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer in the Era of Next-Generation Sequencing. *J Clin Med*. 2022;11:6480.
5. Laura EA, Joseph MG, Christopher WM. Biomarker-Driven Therapy in Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: A Narrative Review of Latest Advances and Emerging Challenges. *Cancer Manag Res*. 2024;16:111-129.
6. Guoxiang F, Minjie L, Wei G. Targeted Therapy in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: From Bench to Bedside. *Signal Transduct Target Ther*. 2023;8:120.
7. Wenqi W, Jianing Z, Meng L. Recent Advances in Precision Medicine for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: From Bench to Bedside. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2024;16:407.
8. Elena B, Natalia K, Elena P. Molecular Biomarkers and Targeted Therapies in Lung Cancer: A Comprehensive Review. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2023;24:15638.
9. Martina S, Andrea S, Francesco P. Biomarkers in Lung Cancer: Role of Liquid Biopsy and New Technologies. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2020;12:1888.
10. Yanming Z, Yanhong Z, Hongli Z. Targeted Therapy for Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Focus on EGFR, ALK, and ROS1 Mutations. *J Thorac Dis*. 2019;11:S2011-S2023.