# Journal of Biology and Today's World

Journal home page: http://journals.lexispublisher.com/jbtw

Received: 14 July 2016 • Accepted: 27 September 2016

Short.C

doi:10.15412/J.JBTW.01051001

# Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> contamination of cow's raw milk in different seasons from Qazvin province, Iran

Peyman Ghajarbeygi<sup>1</sup>, Marziyeh Palizban<sup>2</sup>, Razzagh Mahmoudi<sup>1\*</sup>, Gholamreza Jahed Khaniki<sup>3</sup>, Babak Pakbin<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Health Products Safety Research Center, Qazvin University of Medical sciences, Qazvin, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Department of Food Hygiene and Safety, School of Health, Qazvin University of Medical sciences, Qazvin, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Environmental Health Engineering Department, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>4</sup> Department of Food Hygiene and Quality Control, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

\*Correspondence should be addressed to Razzagh Mahmoudi, Health Products Safety Research Center, Qazvin University of Medical sciences, Qazvin, Iran; Tel: +982833369581; Fax: +982833345862; Email: <u>r.mahmodi@yahoo.com</u>.

#### ABSTRACT

Aflatoxins are extremely teratogenic, mutagenic, toxic, and carcinogenic compounds. In the present study, 60 cow's raw milk samples were collected from Qazvin province, Iran during Dec 2015 till July 2016. Enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay (ELISA) was applied to determine Aflatoxin  $M_1$  (AFM<sub>1</sub>) in the milk samples. AFM<sub>1</sub> was detected in 34 raw milk samples ranging from  $6.25 \times 10^{-3}$  to  $127.87 \times 10^{-3}$  (part per billion). AFM<sub>1</sub> contents in all positive samples were far below the US legal limit (0.5 ppb), but AFM<sub>1</sub> in30% of the raw milk samples exceeded the EU legal limit (0.05) and 5% of the samples exceeded the Iran legal limit (0.1 ppb). This study indicates a high occurrence of AFM<sub>1</sub> in cow's raw milk especially in winter (40.71×10<sup>-3</sup> ppb) but the level of contamination were not significantly different in various seasons (P<0.05). Since contamination of milk with AFM<sub>1</sub> is a potential risk for human health, in order to prevent the repetition, milk and milk products should be controlled periodically. The levels of AFM<sub>1</sub> contamination of milk in the present study showed that continuous examining of milk is necessary to improve public health and reduce consumer exposure to aflatoxins. Reducing the levels of AFB<sub>1</sub> in animal feedstuffs can be regarded as initial step to control the transfer of AFM<sub>1</sub> to the humans.

Key words: Milk, AFM1, ELISA.

Copyright © 2016 Peyman Ghajarbeygi et al. This is an open access paper distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License. *Journal of Biology and Today's World* is published by *Lexis Publisher*; Journal p-ISSN 2476-5376; Journal e-ISSN 2322-3308.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

ycotoxins are secondary metabolites produced by filamentous fungi (1). Almost 25% of food -and food products are affected annually by mycotoxins (3). Among mycotoxins, AFs are extremely toxic compounds produced by three species of Aspergillus (A. flavus, A. parasiticus, and rare A. nomius) (1-4). A. flavus produces only AFB, while the others produce both B and G AFs (3, 4). Under favorable conditions of temperature and humidity, AFs can be produced during any stage of production (including harvesting, storage, transport and processing) (1). When cows in their lactation period consume AFB<sub>1</sub> contaminated feed, this toxin is metabolized to form the monohydroxy derivative, AFM<sub>1</sub>; which is appeared in the cow's milk (3, 5, 6). Previous studies have shown that approximately 0.3-6.2% of AFB<sub>1</sub> ingested by livestock is metabolized into AFM1 and excreted in their milk however, it mainly depends on the genetics of animals, seasonal variation, milking process

and the environmental conditions (7). The  $AFM_1$  is the main hydroxylated metabolite of AFB<sub>1</sub> formed in liver by means of P450 cytochrome enzymes and may be found in milk products obtained from livestock that have ingested contaminated feed (3, 5, 6). The AFM<sub>1</sub> derivative can be detected in milk within 12-24 hr after the first intake of AFB<sub>1</sub>, while its concentration decreases to an undetectable level 72 hr after the initial intake is stopped (6). AFs are associated with the incidence of certain types of cancers which provokes a global concern over food safety (8). AFM<sub>1</sub> carcinogenicity is approximately 2-10% that of  $AFB_1$  (2). The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) of the World Health Organization (WHO) has classified AFM<sub>1</sub> as 2B group carcinogen (possibly carcinogenic to humans) (9) and this compound causes immunosuppressive, mutagenic, teratogenic and carcinogenic effects, which pose a health concern to humans (1, 10). Mycotoxin detoxification processes of human food are still not efficient in terms of food safety,

nutritional elements retention as well as cost (1). AFM<sub>1</sub> is a very stable AF which neither storage (4, 10) nor thermal processing i.e. pasteurization, autoclaving, ultra-high temperature (UHT) or other methods used in the production of fluid milk, significantly affected its toxin (7, 10).

Since milk is an important part of human diet, especially for children; it appears that milk is one of the most important exposure factors to  $AFM_1$  (11).  $AFM_1$  is the only mycotoxin that has a legal limit in milk all over the world (12). The EU has implement the maximum  $AFM_1$  level in liquid milk and dried or processed milk products intended for adults i.e. 0.05 (ppb) and 0.025 (ppb) for milk intended for infants. However, the US Food and Drug Administration stated the maximum permissible level of 0.5 (ppb) in milk (7). Iran, have also conducted national surveys to assess the  $AFM_1$  content in fluid milk in order to taking effective measures to ensure milk safety (Table 1) (6).

Table 1. Acceptable limit of AFM₁ level in liquid milk					
EU(ppb)	ISIRI(ppb)	FDA(ppb)			
0.05	0.1	0.5			

The purpose of the present study is to evaluate the seasonal  $AFM_1$  contamination in raw cow milk distribution centers of Qazvin province for awareness  $AFM_1$  in milk (as the basis for the preparation of other dairy products); for provide health decisions at managerial level.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 2.1. Sampling

This study was carried out from December 2015 to July 2016. A total of 60 cow's raw milk samples were collected in different seasons from raw cow milk distribution centers in Qazvin province (15 samples per season). Collected samples were transferred to the laboratory at 2-8 °C then frozen at -20 °C until examining for AFM<sub>1</sub> contamination (13).

## 2.2. Examining milk samples for $AFM_1$

The quantitative analysis of  $AFM_1$  in the row cow milk samples was performed by competitive enzyme immunoassay using EuroProxima Aflatoxin  $M_1$  Elisa kit. Milk samples were chilled to 10°C and then centrifuged at 2000g for 5 min. The upper creamy layer was completely removed by aspirating through a Pasteur pipette and from the lower phase (defatted supernatant) 200  $\mu$ l was directly used per well in the test. ELISA test procedure was conducted according to the instructions of kit.

## 2.3. Statistical Analysis

The results of  $AFM_1$  concentration were statistically analyzed and the data were presented as mean and range. The significant difference (p<0.05) between provinces was determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS software (SPSS Inc, IBM, NY, USA).

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study a total of 60 milk samples were examined for detection of  $AFM_1$  contamination. Obtained results were summarized and shown in Table 2 (comparison based on ISIRI5925 Amendment No. 1).

Table 2. Occurrence of AFM <sub>1</sub> in cow's raw milk samples.								
season	Date (month/year)	Sample category	No	Range of concentration (ppb) Min-Max	Mean <sup>NS</sup> (ppb)	n> ISIRI limit <sup>a</sup>		
autumn	Dec/2015	Raw bulk milk	15	<0.00625-0.11929	0.03913	1(6.66%)		
winter	Feb/2016	Raw bulk milk	15	<0.00625-0.11730	0.04071	1(6.66%)		
spring	June/2016	Raw bulk milk	15	<0.00625-0.09381	0.03846	0		
summer	July/2016	Raw bulk milk	15	<0.00625-0.12787	0.03417	1(6.66%)		

NS Not Significant

Note: a Iran legal maximum level (0.1ppb)

Table 3. Comparative evaluation in term of AFM <sub>1</sub> con	tamination between countries
---	------------------------------

Local of sampling	year	Category of samples	Evidence of AFM1 (%)	Contamination upper than EU (%)
Iran	2013	raw and pasteurized milk	47.91	0
Serbia	2014	raw cow milk	98.7	86
Iran	2014	raw buffalo milk	54.4	16.3
Kosovo	2016	raw cow milk	2.8	0
Iran	2016	raw cow milk	-	15.4

The linearity of the standard calibration curve was gaged by calculating the coefficient of the regression curve ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ), which stayed not under 0.999. 34 of samples from all seasons were contaminated with AFM<sub>1</sub> in different levels. Analysis of 60 collected raw milk samples showed that the lowest percentage of samples contaminated with AFM<sub>1</sub> belonged to spring (100% Corresponded to ISIRI5925 Amendment No. 1) (Figure 1).



The AFM1 maximum concentration (0.04071 ppb) observed in the winter. As moisture is one of the factors influencing fungal growth and AF production; the prevalence of AF in winter can be attributed to the high rainfall and high humidity in this season. Based on statistical analysis, AF levels of milk samples in four seasons were not significantly differed. Overall, three and 18 samples of whole samples were unsuitable for human consumption according to last Iranian Regulation and EU, respectively 117.30-127.87 (×10-3 ppb) and 50.25-127.87  $(\times 10^{-3} \text{ ppb})$  (23,24). According to the study in Serbia, AFM<sub>1</sub> was detected in 98.7% of analyzed cow's milk samples with concentrations from 0.01 to 1.2 (ppb). In additional, AFM<sub>1</sub> level in 129 (86.0%) cow's milk samples was greater than maximum residue levels (MRL) of 0.05 (ppb) defined by European Union (EU) Regulation (14). The results of AFM<sub>1</sub> contamination in milk in Yazd, Iran showed that the 15.4% of cow milk, 11.5% of sheep milk, and 9.15% of goat milk samples had the AFM<sub>1</sub> level higher than of standard level, (0.05 ppb), while none of the camel milk samples exceeded this limit (15). Examining  $AFM_1$  in raw milk throughout four seasons in Croatia showed the mean AFM<sub>1</sub> levels in the three regions over four seasons were in the ranges (ppb): eastern Croatia  $(7.25-26.6) \times 10^{-3}$ ; western Croatia (5.91-9.26) ×10-3; other regions of Croatia  $(7.17-13.6) \times 10^{-3}$ . The highest AFM<sub>1</sub> levels were quantified in December (764.4×10-3 ppb) and January  $(383.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ ppb})$  (16). In an assessment of AFM<sub>1</sub> in milk consumed in Kosovo during 2009-2010, From 895 samples examined by ELISA method, 25 (2.8%) samples were contaminated with AFM<sub>1</sub>, none of contaminated samples did not exceed the EU regulation limits ( 0.05 ppb) (17). The results of  $AFM_1$  contamination of milk samples collected from individual farms in Qazvin province from March to February 2012, showed that the

AFM<sub>1</sub> contamination mean levels in milk in summer and winter were 0.08 and 0.18 ppb, respectively. The AFB<sub>1</sub> contamination level in winter feed  $(2.27 \pm 1.76)$  was higher than from summer  $(0.83 \pm 0.60)$  (P < 0.05) (18). In a seasonal pattern study on AFM<sub>1</sub> contamination in buffalo milk in the northwestern region of Iran, this mycotoxin was found in 54.4% of the samples by average concentration of 38.5±5.12 (×10-3ppb). The concentration of AFM<sub>1</sub> in all of the samples were lesser than Iranian national standard and FDA limit (0.5ppb), but in 16.3% of the milk samples the concentration of AFM<sub>1</sub> was higher than maximum tolerance limit established by European Union/Codex Alimentarius Commission (0.05ppb) (19). Examination of 144 milk samples (102 raw milk samples and 42 pasteurized milk samples) show that the AFM<sub>1</sub> was detected in 47.91% of the samples by average concentration of  $39.45 \pm 18.40$  (×10<sup>-3</sup>ppb). The highest mean concentration of AFM<sub>1</sub> (43.9  $\pm$  9.5  $\times$ 10<sup>-3</sup>ppb) was recorded in traditional dairy farm samples (20) (Table 3). Traditional dairy farming is a common system in Iran, done mostly by farmers in a system of mixed farming, with animals in support of crop production. As a result, poor foodstuff quality might be related to the residual level of  $AFM_1$  in the milk (21). However, the average  $AFM_1$ contamination of the milk samples in the present study was in agreement with the results of the previously mentioned studies, but there is no significant difference between samples from various seasons (Table 2).

## 4. CONCLUSION

The results of this study didn't reveal a relatively significant occurrence of  $AFM_1$  contamination in cow's raw milk. Contamination of milk samples with  $AFM_1$  could be regarded as a potential public health problem.

Codex as an international organization is responsible for food-related regulations to facilitate traditional exchange and establish  $AFM_1$  standard in milk about 0.05 (ppb). There is a seasonal trend of  $AFM_1$  contamination in the milk of cow, sheep, and goat, with higher occurrence and levels of the toxin during cold seasons. Appropriate measures for decontamination of animal are feed in order to prevent the producing of fungal toxins in feedstuffs are essential. The government should have more supervision on traditional dairy farms, and these dairies should be gradually replaced by industrial ones.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was financially supported by the Qazvin University of Medical Sciences, Qazvin, Iran. We thank the Laboratory of Food Quality Control and Hygiene, Veterinary Medicine Office, Qazvin Province, Iran for their cooperation.

# **FUNDING/SUPPORT**

Not mentioned any Funding/Support by authors.

# **AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION**

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors.

# **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interests with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this paper.

# REFERENCES

 Hassan HF, Kassaify Z. The risks associated with aflatoxins M1 occurrence in Lebanese dairy products. Food Control. 2014;37:68-72.
Asi MR, Iqbal SZ, Ariño A, Hussain A. Effect of seasonal variations and lactation times on aflatoxin M 1 contamination in milk of different species from Punjab, Pakistan. Food Control. 2012;25(1):34-8. 3. Unusan N. Occurrence of aflatoxin M1 in UHT milk in Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2006;44(11):1897-900.

4. Tsakiris IN, Tzatzarakis MN, Alegakis AK, Vlachou MI, Renieri EA, Tsatsakis AM. Risk assessment scenarios of children's exposure to aflatoxin M1 residues in different milk types from the Greek market. Food and chemical toxicology. 2013;56:261-5.

5. Škrbić B, Živančev J, Antić I, Godula M. Levels of aflatoxin M1 in different types of milk collected in Serbia: Assessment of human and animal exposure. Food Control. 2014;40:113-9.

6. Fallah AA. Assessment of aflatoxin M1 contamination in pasteurized and UHT milk marketed in central part of Iran. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(3):988-91.

7. Iqbal SZ, Asi MR, Jinap S. Variation of aflatoxin M 1 contamination in milk and milk products collected during winter and summer seasons. Food control. 2013;34(2):714-8.

8. lqbal SZ, Asi MR. Assessment of aflatoxin M 1 in milk and milk products from Punjab, Pakistan. Food Control. 2013;30(1):235-9.

9. Pei SC, Zhang YY, Eremin SA, Lee WJ. Detection of aflatoxin M1 in milk products from China by ELISA using monoclonal antibodies. Food Control. 2009;20(12):1080-5.

10. Zheng Ń, Sun P, Wang J, Zhen Y, Han R, Xu X. Occurrence of aflatoxin M1 in UHT milk and pasteurized milk in China market. Food Control. 2013;29(1):198-201.

11. Rahimi E, Bonyadian M, Rafei M, Kazemeini H. Occurrence of aflatoxin M1 in raw milk of five dairy species in Ahvaz, Iran. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(1):129-31.

12. Zheng N, Wang J-Q, Han R-W, Zhen Y-P, Xu X-M, Sun P. Survey of aflatoxin M1 in raw milk in the five provinces of China. Food Additives & Contaminants: Part B. 2013;6(2):110-5.

13. Bilandžić N, Božić Đ, Đokić M, Sedak M, Kolanović BS, Varenina I, et al. Seasonal effect on aflatoxin M1 contamination in raw and UHT milk from Croatia. Food control. 2014;40:260-4.

14. Kos J, Lević J, Đuragić O, Kokić B, Miladinović I. Occurrence and estimation of aflatoxin M1 exposure in milk in Serbia. Food Control. 2014;38:41-6.

15. Fallah AA, Fazlollahi R, Emami A. Seasonal study of aflatoxin M 1 contamination in milk of four dairy species in Yazd, Iran. Food Control. 2016;68:77-82.

16. Bilandžić N, Varenina I, Kolanović BS, Božić Đ, Đokić M, Sedak M, et al. Monitoring of aflatoxin M1 in raw milk during four seasons in Croatia. Food Control. 2015;54:331-7.

17. Rama A, Montesissa C, Lucatello L, Galina G, Benetti C, Bajraktari D. A study on the occurrence of aflatoxin M1 in milk consumed in Kosovo during 2009–2010. Food Control. 2016;62:52-5.

18. Mahmoudi R, Norian R. Aflatoxin B1 and M1 contamination in cow feeds and milk from Iran. Food and Agricultural Immunology. 2015;26(1):131-7.

19. Mahmoudi R. Seasonal pattern of aflatoxin M1 contamination in buffalo milk. Journal of Agroalimentary Processes and Technologies. 2014;20(1):9-13.

20. Vagef R, Mahmoudi R. Occurrence of Aflatoxin M1 in raw and pasteurized milk produced in west region of Iran (during summer and winter). International Food Research Journal. 2013;20(3).

21. Mohajeri A, Mohajeri F, Amiri A, Khorramdel Azad H, Ahmadi Z, Asadollahi Z, et al. A Study on the Occurrence of Aflatoxin M1 in Raw and Pasteurized Milk Produced in Rafsanjan, Iran. Journals of Community Health Research. 2015;4(3):215-9.