



## A Comparative Study of the Dimensional Accuracy of Intra-Oral and Extra-Oral Scanners with cartesian coordinates (x, y, and z)

**Iman Shafiei**

DDS, MSc, Associate Professor, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran; Department of Prosthodontics, School of Dentistry, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

### Abstract:

**Introduction:** In this study, we investigated and compared the inherent trueness and precision of intra-oral and extra-oral scanner systems in different dimensions, regardless of how scanners work and the conditions that can affect their accuracy.

**Materials and Methods:** In this experimental study, a mandible training dental model was used with two standard Dio implants that center distance implants were placed approximately 14 mm in parallel and in the first premolar area and first molar. Data from Planmeca Emerald, 3Shape Trios, CEREC Omnicam, 3shape D850, Cerec inEos X5, AmannGirrbach Ceramill Map+ and CMM scanners were transmitted to STL format to Geomagic Qualify software and superimposed data from scans (test groups) and data from the CMM (control group) was performed with the Best-Fit algorithm and the calculations were performed to determine precision and trueness.

**Results:** A significant difference was seen among the extra-oral scanners used in the estimation of displacement variables, the intervals between the implants ( $\Delta D$ ), posterior scan body ( $\Delta \parallel 1$ ), anterior scan body ( $\Delta \parallel 2$ ), and distance displacement ( $\Delta Y 2$ ) in terms of the amount of the trueness; in addition, there was a significant difference in estimates of variable distance displacement ( $\Delta Y 1$ ) in terms of precision ( $P < 0.05$ ). In the estimation of vari-



ables  $\Delta D$  and  $\Delta \parallel 1$ , intra-oral scanners also had a significant difference in trueness level; furthermore, in the estimation of variables  $\Delta D$ , there was a significant difference in terms of precision ( $p < 0.05$ ) and extra-oral scanners had better performance.

**Conclusion:** Extra-oral scanners have better trueness and precision than intra-oral scanners.

### Publication of speakers:

1. Anurag Choudhary Utility of Digital Volume Tomography in maxillofacial Trauma. *Journal Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 2011;69: e135-e140
2. UTILITY OF CONE BEAM CT IN MAXILLO-FACIAL RADIOLOGY *Int J Dent Case Reports* 2013;3(1): 134-145 ©IJDCR 2013. All rights reserved [www.ijdcr.com](http://www.ijdcr.com)
3. Pleomorphic Adenoma of Palate-A Case Report with CT and CBCT Features. *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)* e-ISSN: 2279-0853, p-ISSN:2279-0861. Volume 13, Issue 8 Ver. I (Aug. 2014), PP. 83-89

International Webinar on Dental Care | August 29, 2020 | Paris, France

**Citation:** Iman Shafiei; A Comparative Study of the Dimensional Accuracy of Intra-Oral and Extra-Oral Scanners with cartesian coordinates (x, y, and z); *Dentistry* 2020; August 29, 2020; Paris, France